

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-WORK SESSION  
MAY 3, 2022

**FINAL**

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 6:08 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks  
Council Member Lisa Watts Baskin  
Council Member Natalie Gordon  
Council Member Ted Knowlton  
Council Member Stan Porter  
Council Member Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; Paul Ottoson, City Engineer; David Frandsen, Public Works Director; Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

1. DEPARTMENT UPDATE-COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Sherrie Pace reported the two new staff members in the Community Development department were Cameron Wright, Building Official, and Sharon Smauldon, Permit Technician. The other department members were Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director, Sandra Halladay, Code Enforcement Specialist, Ali Avery, Long Range Planner, and Mackenzie Bennett, Land Use Planner. She explained how code enforcement had moved from the police department to community development, which allowed Ms. Pace to more easily track cases. Sherrie Pace said more cases had also been resolved without the need for the hearing officer as the goal was compliance and not to collect fines.

Sherrie Pace shared the City demographics for 2021 and reported there were 789 active business licenses. The City had 8.52 square miles of land with 2,750 people per square mile. Housing for 2021 included 7,682 units with a homeownership rate of 73.1%, 3.1 persons per household, and a median household income of \$90,900 annually. She said staff tracked every parcel in the City to keep track of housing inventory using the data from building permits.

Mayor Horrocks asked if the City shared business license information with the Davis County Chamber. Wendy Page replied the Chamber had not requested this information, but she could reach out to them.

Ken Leetham commented the Chamber was active with the City's businesses and may have already compiled a list.

Mayor Horrocks asked how the 73% homeownership compared to other cities. Sherrie Pace responded her source for this data was the staff spreadsheet.

Sherrie Pace shared the demographics from the 2020 census including a population of 3,271,616 for the State of Utah, a population of 24,180 for the City (2022 estimate), and a population change from 2010 to 2020 of 28.7%. The age breakdown was 10.3% for individuals under 5 years, 31% for individuals under 18 years, and 6.6% for individuals 65 and over. The staff spreadsheet showed actual units built/permits issued, actual growth rate, projected units, total unit count, projected growth rate, population, and the date. As of January 1, 2022 the actual units built was 259, the growth rate was 3.37%, the total unit count was 7,928, the projected growth rate was 1.36%, and the population was 24,180. She explained the projected units were from speaking with developers and the projected growth rates were from the Kem C Gardner Policy Institute at the University of Utah and the projected growth rate was from Davis County.

Ms. Pace presented a graph of the actual and estimated population of the City from 2009 to 2025 with estimates based on building permit data. The population data was 24,180 for 2021, 24,461 for 2022, 24,735 for 2023, 25,014 for 2024, and 25,304 for 2025. She showed the permits by calendar year from 2014 to 2022 (estimated) for single family lots, townhome/multifamily, multifamily rental, other permits, new commercial, commercial remodel/signs, and the valuation added. The estimated information for 2022 included 46 single family lots, 22 multifamily, 306 multifamily rental, 300 other permits, 2 new commercial, 60 commercial remodel/signs, and a valuation added of \$90,000,000.

Council Member Gordon asked what the other permits category entailed. Sherrie Pace replied those permits were everything else like solar, roof repairs, etc.

Sherrie Pace reported the duties of the Community Development Department were customer service, land use applications, building permits and inspections, business license review, Good Landlord Program certification tracking, statistics/forecasts of population, demographics, census coordination, as well as grant acquisition and management, mapping and GIS database, regional planning and collaboration, long range planning, attend/plan meetings for City Council, Planning Commission, Appeal Authority, Development Review Committee (DRC), RDA, etc., special events and event coordination, project review, code enforcement, community garden, and the management of land use records.

Ms. Pace reviewed the many grants the City had been awarded since 2010 for a total of over \$9 million in funds. The list of grants included, but was not limited to, the Town Center I-15 trail, 1100 North bridge design, Main Street sidewalk, Main Street widening and reconstruction, Orchard Drive sidewalk, Foxboro Wetlands boardwalk, the Springhill Landslide, etc.

Sherrie Pace spoke on the 2022 Planning Commission priorities such as the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance, the Form-Based Code, the Sign Code, the Parking Code, Conditional Uses, the Subdivision Ordinance, and miscellaneous items such as golf course netting, driveway approaches, scooters, site plan, special events, business licensing, and code enforcement. The list also included the timelines for public hearings, review meetings, and code adoption. She said the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance would be reviewed by the Planning Commission on May 10<sup>th</sup> and would then be presented to the City Council for a policy decision on May 17<sup>th</sup>. The next priority was the Form-Based Code, which was being simplified and reformatted to match the existing City code format. The tentative schedule for the Form-Based Code was a joint work session for the Council and Commission to review the Form-Based Code on August 3<sup>rd</sup> with a public open house on August 30<sup>th</sup>, a public hearing on September 13<sup>th</sup>, and adoption by the City Council on September 20<sup>th</sup>.

The City Council discussed billboard signs including current ordinances that may need to be amended.

Ken Leetham commented the City code had specific definitions and spacing requirements for billboards to help ensure that they could not be placed in the City. Sherrie Pace said staff had been approached by a company who was trying to place a billboard and had been directed to UDOT.

Sherrie Pace spoke on conditional uses and standards for specific uses.

Council Member Baskin recommended revising the appellate proceedings for the appeal authority and hearing officer.

Ken Leetham said the Community Development Department staff also had other duties including City Council priorities such as general plan amendments for landscaping, aesthetics, storm water and water master plans, etc.

Sherrie Pace reported on the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance and said staff attended a meeting by Weber Basin Water related to replacing sod in the park strips. She said in order for City residents to participate in the Flip Your Strip program the City had to adopt the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance.

Mayor Horrocks commented it seemed almost impossible for residents to qualify for the Flip Your Strip program reimbursement.

Sherrie Pace said after attending the meeting she did have some suggestions for Weber Basin on the qualifications. The meeting did provide her with some design guidelines that she could use to create a design guide for park strips and landscaping for residents. She said the first draft of this ordinance had been completed and given to the DRC, staff, and SWIPP inspector for review. The

ordinance included regulations for residential development related to drip irrigation (non-lawn), irrigation valves in zones, WaterSense Controllers, 3” mulch in planting beds, park strips, and lawns limited to 35% of the landscaped area. The commercial and multifamily requirements included lawn limited to 15% of landscaped area and no lawns in the parking lots or buffer areas. She showed different examples of landscape percentages and xeriscape yards.

Council Member Van Langeveld said her Homeowners Association (HOA) stipulated how much grass was required. Sherrie Pace replied an HOA was no longer allowed to require certain percentages of grass. She said the Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (CC&Rs) for an HOA could be amended to comply with State code.

Sherrie Pace then showed examples of landscaping percentages for commercial uses. She said while preparing this ordinance the disparity between the City and other cities showed that the City required 10% landscaping versus 15-40% in other cities. Ms. Pace said another discrepancy with other city codes was the requirement for a tree every x number of square feet of total landscaping. She said the City needed to decide if the existing requirements were too low. The Planning Commission would review this policy next week.

## 2. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 6:55 p.m. to begin the regular session.

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-REGULAR SESSION  
MAY 3, 2022

**FINAL**

Mayor Horrocks called the meeting to order at 7:07 p.m. Council Member Ted Knowlton offered a thought and led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks  
Council Member Lisa Watts Baskin  
Council Member Natalie Gordon  
Council Member Ted Knowlton  
Council Member Stan Porter  
Council Member Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; Paul Ottoson, City Engineer; David Frandsen, Public Works Director; Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Dee Lalliss, Gentry Holbrook, T. Holbrook, Ryan Holbrook, Jeff Scroger, Troy Anderson, Brenden Prickett, Noah Waite, Greysen Gilmore, David Waite, Kaden Daniel Briggs, Caleb Hendersen, Ty Coles, Jacob Hoxen, Alex P., residents.

1. CITIZEN COMMENT

There were no citizen comments.

2. CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT TO THE PARKS, TRAILS, ARTS AND RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD

Council Member Gordon reported on her appointment to the Parks, Trails, Arts and Recreation Advisory Board Jeff Scroger. She said Jeff was an attorney for a local company.

Jeff Scroger commented he was the in-house counsel for a local company that made water treatment equipment. He spoke on the reasons why he was interested in serving including making an impact on the home and area where he lived.

**Council Member Gordon moved to appoint Jeff Scroger to the Parks, Trails, Arts and Recreation Advisory Board. Council Member Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The**

**motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

3. CONSIDERATION OF BID AWARD FOR THE FRONTAGE ROAD, 75 EAST, 125 EAST, 175 EAST, AND 190 EAST STREET RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$321,350

Paul Ottoson reported there were five different streets in the reconstruction project. He said the Public Works Department was working on the waterlines of four of the five streets. The Frontage Road would be completed at the end of the week. The 190 East street did not have a waterline project as it was a short street. Each street would take approximately a month to complete and as part of the contract street reconstruction must begin within two weeks after the waterline was completed. One lane and ramps to driveways must remain open through construction as well. He showed images of the poor condition of the roads. Staff received three bids with Staker & Parson as the low bid at \$321,350. The project budget was \$431,000.

Council Member Gordon asked if the roads were being rebuilt due to age and the waterline project. Paul Ottoson replied after a waterline was installed the road would be in even worse condition if not replaced.

Council Member Baskin asked about the waterline portion of the project. Paul Ottoson responded in the residential areas an eight-inch PVC pipe would be installed to replace a fifty-year-old six-inch cast iron line.

Council Member Porter questioned if the adjacent 475 North Lakeview Drive would be replaced. Paul Ottoson said that road would be replaced next year.

**Council Member Gordon moved the City Council award the Frontage Road, 75 East, 125 East, 175 East and 190 East Street Reconstruction project to Staker Parson for the price of \$321,350. Council Member Porter seconded. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

4. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2022-14R: A RESOLUTION INCREASING USER FEES AND CHARGES FOR CULINARY WATER, SECONDARY WATER, AND STORM WATER IN THE CITY'S COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE

Heidi Voordeckers reported this item started last year with a resolution to contract with Bowen, Collins and Associates to perform a comprehensive study to review infrastructure for water and storm drains in comparison with the incoming fees and revenues. Up to that point the City had conducted its own fee analysis reviewing water rates, storm, and garbage services. The purpose of the agreement was to update the City's infrastructure plan as well as evaluating the capacities and growth needs of culinary water, secondary water, and storm water source; supply; storage;

and distribution. Specific focus was placed on incorporating water conservation strategies and fee structures that encouraged the efficient use of water supplies, while planning on future capital needs.

Ms. Voordeckers said the results of the City's water and storm water rate study were presented to the City Council in March of 2022. Along with addressing conservation efforts, the proposed fee structure focused on creating equity by aligning user fees with the cost of providing services. One of the most important aspects of the fee study was an adjustment to the base rate, which was currently based on meter size dependent on location. She spoke on the base rate adjustments including a reduction in most culinary base rates, removal of base allowances, which ranged from 6,000 to 10,000 gallons, standardized for both residential and commercial based on meter size, and secondary base rate drops from \$14.55 to \$5.00 a month. There would also be a new tier structure that would begin charging users at the first 1,000 gallon used. There would also be a decrease in the allowance in mid-range tiers to promote conservation. The study looked at data from 2020 usage rates with the intent of overall revenues in the Water Fund increasing by 10%.

Heidi Voordeckers commented overall usage rates normalized. The recommendation was that secondary usage average at 75% of culinary usage water rates and that rates above 350 East were set at 20% higher than below 350 East to accommodate the service delivery cost, which was the electricity required to provide water on the hillside. Staff had discussions with Bowen Collins on whether homes that were further up the hill should pay more. Bowen Collins felt this would defeat the rate equity goal. She spoke on the proposed rate structure and said a ¾ inch pipe decreased from a base rate of \$25.90 to \$20.00. A one inch decreased from \$36.36 to \$30.00, etc. The biggest change was there was no longer any differentiation for areas above or below 350 East but which residents had access to secondary water or not.

Bowen Collins recommended the water usage for apartments which had its own rate based on per unit be transitioned to commercial rates so the building was based on meter size and then overall usage. Staff determined this would result in a \$60,000 revenue decrease so the base rate for apartments was lowered from \$16.50 per unit to \$12.00 per unit while still incorporating the commercial usage rate of \$2.01.

Heidi Voordeckers said staff replicated the numbers and reviewed all of the data for different users across the City. The median average users, approximately 6,000 gallons per month, would see a \$9-\$12 a month increase in culinary water use. She said 2020 data was used to determine the revenue needed to fund the infrastructure program. Ms. Voordeckers showed how the new rate structure compared to other cities for light, average, and heavy users. The City's current water rates were the lowest in comparison to Bountiful, Farmington, Layton, Ogden, and Salt Lake City.

Heidi Voordeckers reported the proposal was for a series of gradual rate increases including a 10% increase this year, an 8% yearly increase for the following two years, and a 6% increase for

the next three years. She said this would take a current \$40 monthly bill to a \$61 monthly bill by 2027. This would fund a perpetual infrastructure replacement fund at \$2.4 million a year. The storm water fee would also increase from \$6 to \$7 to fund the \$1 million a year in storm water infrastructure.

Council Member Baskin said these rates were set not to create revenue but to align them with other communities and they were equitably rendered.

Council Member Gordon commented from her understanding the water fund stood alone and the rate would have to be increased to cover infrastructure repairs. Heidi Voordeckers replied affirmatively.

Council Member Porter clarified State law did not allow the City to make a profit on utilities per say but could put money aside for future projects.

**Council Member Knowlton moved the City Council approve Resolution No. 2022-14R: a resolution adjusting the user fees and charges for culinary water, secondary water, and storm water effective June 1, 2022. Council Member Baskin seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

5. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2022-16R: A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 TENTATIVE BUDGET AND SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING DATE

Ken Leetham reported this was a statutory requirement for the City Council to consider adopting the tentative budget and set a public hearing date. He proposed a date of June 7, 2022 for the public hearing. The tentative budget would be available to the public for 30 days. Staff reviewed levels of service, general plans, and City Council goals and objectives. The City would maintain operations and add some staff members. Mr. Leetham said a property tax increase was not proposed for this year but user fee increases were proposed in certain areas to pay for future infrastructure projects. He spoke on the support of past City Council initiatives, budget allocations viewed through the lens of levels of service, and what should be maintained or improved. Mayor Horrocks thanked staff for their efforts on preparing the budget. He said the proposed budget addressed the needs of maintaining competitive pay levels for employees, meeting needs, and maintaining and/or increasing levels of service.

Council Member Gordon encouraged public review and feedback of the budget.

Council Member Baskin said a budget of \$36 million was a hefty budget but was being done within the City's means. She asked for clarification on what would be available to the public including the memorandum, resolution, the May 3<sup>rd</sup> letter provided by the City Manager, and

attachment A from the packet provided to the Council. Ken Leetham replied that everything minus the cover memorandum would be available for the public.

Council Member Baskin requested the May 3<sup>rd</sup> letter from the City Manager be included in the information provided for the public.

**Council Member Van Langeveld moved that the City Council approve Resolution 2022-16R a resolution adopting the tentative budget for fiscal year 2022-2023 and setting a public hearing date. Council Member Porter seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

6. CONSIDERATION OF THE CITY'S DRAFT RESPONSE TO UDOT REGARDING THE I-15 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Ken Leetham reported he had made some minor revisions to the draft letter that did not affect the overall statement. He said there were two important issues including the number one priority of a bridge over 2600 South/1100 North. The letter requested UDOT consider including this project in the I-15 environmental impact statement. This was important as the State contemplated a multibillion dollar project on I-15 and the inclusion of the bridge over the railway as part of this project. The City had invested substantial amounts of funds in this project, which demonstrated local commitment and had assembled \$5 million in funding. Mr. Leetham said \$3.5 million had been awarded for the first two phases in the City's work on the bridge project. The second highlight of the bridge project was the EIS purpose and needs statement "to improve safety," was the danger of the at-grade crossing at this location. He further stated that the railroad crossing on 1100 North had been the site of some terrible train/vehicle accidents. This letter also included the character of the City and the industrial area, which this roadway provided access. The second issue was more difficult to identify but included poor access to I-15, particularly northbound. He said the Center Street exit was an important improvement that the City did not want to lose and a request for a northbound access at that location.

Ken Leetham mentioned another potential project connecting I-15 to I-215 but was unsure what that improvement might look like. He said staff discussed this project with UDOT who had entertained improving the Williamsburg property but declined purchasing any of the property. Mr. Leetham said the due date for this statement and public comment was May 13<sup>th</sup>. He said it was critical for the City to provide their position in writing to submit to UDOT during this period.

Ken Leetham said the City appreciated the partnership with UDOT on local upgrades in landscaping and other aesthetics particularly at the interchanges of 2600 South and I-15 and at Redwood Road and I-215. The City participated in the upgrade of landscaping and other improvements in these locations and wished to continue that practice with upcoming I-15 reconstruction.

Mayor Horrocks expressed his surprise this project was still four years out.

Council Member Knowlton said this was the purpose and need portion of the project, which established the measuring sticks for potential future solutions. He recommended helping UDOT to establish the yardsticks by adding language specifying this. He said this could include the 2600 South/1100 North grade separation and that safety should extend to perpendicular and parallel surface streets and not just I-15. He recommended elaborating on access to I-15 as an objective in the purpose and need and why this mattered to the City (economic development, etc.). Council Member Knowlton also suggested broadening safety for active transportation including bike and pedestrian safety parallel to the corridor and across I-15.

Council Member Porter commented he did not want to detract from the 1100 North project but was disappointed in the plan as it no longer addressed the Center Street issue. He suggested it may be beneficial to include general language for a full interchange at the south end of the City off of Hwy 89 that would include westbound I-215 and northbound I-15 connections.

Council Member Baskin suggested including the comments that had been received earlier by Council Member Porter. She said this was a rare opportunity for the City to benefit from the environmental impact study. She said the State was paying \$8 million to \$10 million for the study and it would be advantageous for the City to provide them with more to study. Council Member Baskin recommended detailing the City's priorities in the statement and the request for connectedness should be requested before aesthetics. She said the City should take advantage of this opportunity.

Ken Leetham said he would prepare a new draft public comment statement and provide it to the Council for review. He suggested the individual Council Members could provide comments directly to UDOT as well if they wished to do so.

## 7. DISCUSSION OF INVOCATIONS IN CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS

Ken Leetham said he received a request from Council Members to have this item on the agenda. He said the City Attorney provided clarification on this matter and had provided two documents.

Todd Godfrey reported prayer in public meetings was legal and valid. The practice was sustained by federal courts in every state but there were some best practices or appropriate framework to consider. He said as this was a public forum it was important to remember that the content of a prayer or thought could not be restricted. The City's current practice was legal but without a policy the manner and timing of what was shared could not be controlled. Mr. Godfrey suggested if the Council wished to continue this practice it would be wise to consider adopting a policy that would allow the City the ability to remain in control of the timeliness issues of the meeting.

Council Member Porter spoke on a decision from the Supreme Court that if the prayer was not degrading then it was appropriate. He felt a policy would be beneficial and could include items from the Supreme Court decision.

Council Member Knowlton said he had no issue with a prayer being offered to begin the meeting, but his question was how to have a welcoming policy for all residents. He said the word invocation or prayer was restrictive and suggested using a broader intention.

Council Member Baskin expressed concern about welcoming and engaging the public in attending meetings. She provided examples of wording from other cities including “opening ceremonies”, “thought/prayer”, or “moment of silence”. She said this was an effort to be more inclusive. The point was to improve the way things were done.

Council Member Van Langeveld said she wanted to arrange for a guest to come in and be involved. She was in favor of allowing content to vary.

Council Member Gordon commented she was uncomfortable in praying in front of groups. She said the State Board of Education started with board member comment and suggested starting the meeting with more inclusive opportunities. She said she would prefer allowing for public comment or finding more diverse voices.

Mayor Horrocks stated he had been involved with the Council for over 14 years. He spoke on being uncomfortable at times yet desired to continue with prayer or thoughts in a different format. He asked whether the Pledge of Allegiance should also be a consideration.

Ken Leetham clarified the City Council would like to have an opening to the meeting that included thoughts, prayers, moment of silence, etc. and how this would be written on an agenda. Staff would work with the Council on how this would look and provide advanced notice to the Council on the rotation.

Council Member Van Langeveld commented the reason for this practice should be clarified whether it was engagement from the community or tradition. Council Member Knowlton said the reason did not need to be specified and felt people had different ways of expressing what was a meaningful start to a meeting, so it did not need to be articulated.

Council Member Van Langeveld said perhaps the valued reasons were in having a meaningful start to a meeting and engaging the community.

## 8. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

The City Council minutes of March 26, 2022 were reviewed and approved.

**Council Member Baskin moved to approve the minutes as amended for the March 26, 2022 budget retreat. Council Member Gordon seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

The City Council minutes of April 19, 2022 were reviewed and approved.

**Council Member Knowlton moved that the City Council approve the meeting minutes of April 19, 2022 as amended. Council Member Gordon seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

## 9. ACTION ITEMS

The action items list was reviewed. Completed items were removed from the list.

Council Member Gordon asked if staff was still waiting on a request from Woods Cross regarding the dog park. Ken Leetham mentioned he would be providing an update during his report later in the meeting.

Council Member Baskin questioned if staff had received information on the prescriptive easement for Rulon Crosby's property. Todd Godfrey replied that he was still working on answers to that question.

Council Member Baskin asked about watering City trees. Ken Leetham responded the challenge was not having locations to attach hoses. He said a number of the trees were already receiving water through turf irrigation.

David Frandsen replied staff would follow up on this item.

## 10. COUNCIL REPORTS

Council Member Knowlton reported on the Parks and Arts Board and said Rachel Shumway would be leaving the board, which meant a new appointment would need to be made.

Council Member Knowlton mentioned the Golden Spoke which was the Country's longest continuous urban trail which started in Ogden Canyon, ran through the City, and into the Jordan River Trail in Salt Lake City. He said there was an annual celebration and bike ride on Saturday May 14<sup>th</sup>. He asked about participating in the celebration and invited those who were interested to meet at the Foxboro regional park.

Council Member Baskin reported on the Arbor Day event and said there was a great turnout. She thanked staff and those who participated.

Council Member Gordon reported the Youth City Council (YCC) met with County Commissioner Kamalu today. The YCC would continue to hold the mobile food pantry at Camelot on the third Tuesday from 5:30 to 6:30 p.m. She said the YCC also participated in the Arbor Day event.

#### 11. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Todd Godfrey had nothing to report.

#### 12. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Horrocks reported Weber Basin Water Conservancy District had made a statement that if there was not significant snowfall next year, then there would be zero irrigation water. He had spoken to Shandell Smoot and asked if there would be landscaping restrictions in his new development in the City. Mr. Smoot told him the natural vegetation would be preserved there.

Mayor Horrocks met with the Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District and invited the new Council Members and interested citizens to tour the facility. He mentioned the National Youth City Council conference in Washington D.C. and potentially arranging to take the City's YCC to this conference in the future.

#### 13. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

Ken Leetham reported on a meeting with the Woods Cross city manager and mayor regarding the dog park. He said two suggestions were made including a donation of \$25,000 towards the construction of dog park improvements with a City match. The second suggestion was for Woods Cross to sign the UDOT agreement for the use of the property. Verbal agreements were made to jointly construct and maintain the park and that an outside contractor would be hired for the maintenance. Mr. Leetham said Woods Cross wanted to participate but had limited funds.

Ken Leetham spoke on a joint participation and application with Woods Cross to the County for funds from the third quarter transportation sales tax for a lighted intersection at 400 West and 1100 South. He said this was a low-cost project and could be funded by the County. This would obligate both cities to pay \$50,000 each.

Mr. Leetham suggested holding an open house on June 4<sup>th</sup> in conjunction with Weber Basin Water Conservancy District and Utah State University Extension related to water conservation and the new rates.

