

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-WORK SESSION  
ANCHOR LOCATION: CITY HALL  
10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE  
OCTOBER 4, 2022

**FINAL**

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 6:03 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks  
Councilmember Lisa Watts Baskin  
Councilmember Natalie Gordon  
Councilmember Ted Knowlton  
Councilmember Stan Porter  
Councilmember Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; Karyn Baxter, City Engineer; David Frandsen, Assistant City Manager; Jon Rueckert, Assistant Public Works Director; Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Wendy Page, City Recorder; Jodi Adamson, Court Clerk.

OTHERS PRESENT: Dee Lalliss, Ryan Holbrook, residents; Taylor Spendlove, Brighton Homes Utah LLC; David Miller, Court Judge.

1. PRESENTATION-MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDING  
AUGUST 31, 2022

Heidi Voordeckers reported on the financials for the period ending on August 31, 2022. She said the expenditures were tracking appropriately and sales tax and other tax revenues had not yet been received. She highlighted things to note during this period included due to collection timing the tax revenues appeared low compared to the budget and the Citywide interest revenues were up almost \$80,000 compared to last year due to higher interest rates. Ms. Voordeckers explained utility revenues were reflecting and tracking as projected from the June 1<sup>st</sup> rate increase. She said impact fees were down almost 35% from prior year collections so staff would observe and recast these revenue figures if necessary.

2. PRESENTATION RELATED TO MUNICIPAL COURT BY JODI ADAMSON,  
COURT CLERK

Mayor Horrocks acknowledged that Judge David Miller was in attendance at the meeting.

Jodi Adamson reported the motto of the justice court was to improve the quality of life in the communities which was by providing a neutral location for both the defendants and the prosecution. She introduced the court employees including Judge David Miller. She mentioned David Miller had been a judge since 1996 and worked in the City for 14 years. She said she was the Court Supervisor and had worked for the City for 17 years and had 22 years of court experience. She added Suzie Combe-Van Komen was the Court Clerk II and had worked for the City for 16 years. Ms. Adamson stated Suzie was currently a part time employee at 29 hours a week. She continued Kathy Ruggiero was a Court Clerk I and had worked for the City for five years. She noted Kathy currently worked part time at 20 hours a week.

Jodi Adamson said the court contracted with four different prosecuting attorneys from the Davis County Attorney's Office. She mentioned other employees included the public defenders and the Spanish interpreter. She provided an overview of the court as a Class II Court which meant they had an average of 201-500 cases per month. She reported the Justice Court had jurisdiction over traffic, criminal, and small claims including infractions, Misdemeanor C and B cases as well as West Bountiful City ordinances. She explained the court handled cases for the City and West Bountiful jurisdictions with West Bountiful covering their own costs for prosecution and public defender. Ms. Adamson stated the City and West Bountiful split the retained revenue for West Bountiful cases at fifty percent each. She explained within the State of Utah the courts were divided into eight judicial districts. She mentioned in each of these districts were a District Court, Juvenile Court, and Justice Court or the trial courts. She added the Appellate Courts consisted of the Utah Supreme Court and the Utah Court of Appeals.

Jodi Adamson said this was the second largest justice court in the County. She noted Layton and Bountiful did not have a justice court but contracted through the district court. She explained there were two administrative bodies which included the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) and the Judicial Council. She reported the AOC served as staff to the Judicial Council, ruled the committees, boards of judges, standing and ad hoc committees and nominating commissions. She mentioned the AOC also provided judicial education such as new judge orientation, annual conferences, and various workshops. She acknowledged the AOC provided administrative support to the Justice Court Administrator and staff to the Board of Justice Court Judges as well as handling the internal audits of the court such as financial and performance of the courts.

Ms. Adamson stated the Judicial Council was the governing body of the judiciary for the entire State and consisted of sixteen members. She indicated the Judicial Council held constitutional authority to adopt uniform rules for the administration of all the courts in Utah as well as set the standards for judicial performance, court facilities, support services, and judicial and non-judicial staff levels. She noted the Judicial Council certified judges following their appointments by the governing body and enacted rules to ensure the administration of justice was uniform throughout the State. She added the Judicial Council also ensured that judges and courts, including specialty courts, complied with minimum standards on an ongoing basis.

Ms. Adamson shared the court resources, which could also be utilized by the public, including the court website which had forms, information for expunging minor cases, Utah Department of Motor Vehicles website, Utah Drive License Division, Office of State Debt Collections, and Bureau of Criminal Identification (BCI).

Ms. Adamson spoke on the City and West Bountiful's traffic cases and shared graphs of traffic cases and DUI cases. She mentioned the traffic cases were divided into driver license, moving and non-moving violations, and DUIs for the years 2019-2022 through September 30th. She then shared data for criminal cases from 2019 to 2022 which included domestic violence, assault, alcohol related, controlled substance, theft, and other for the City and West Bountiful all with an overall upward trend. She noted the total number of cases filed for the City and West Bountiful in 2022 through September was 2,333.

Jodi Adamson reported on the court schedule for 2019 compared to 2022 through the present. She said there had been significant changes since 2019 including all court held virtually via WebEx, court was held three Tuesdays per month from 10 am to noon and 1 p.m. to 5 p.m., all cases were prescheduled, small claims were held one Wednesday per month, bench trials were set on Fridays, and jury trials were scheduled on Friday and were required to be held in person.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if residents could attend court in person or if it was only held via WebEx. Jodi Adamson replied residents could come before the judge in person. She explained how in person and WebEx meetings were both open to the public as a public hearing.

Mayor Horrocks asked if there was more capacity for other cities to use the facility. Jodi Adamson replied affirmatively.

Ken Leetham commented he could speak with other city managers about this possibility.

Councilmember Knowlton said the court provided an important service to the community. He asked where the revenue came from and the budgetary process. Jodi Adamson replied it depended on the type of case and gave the example of a DUI case. She explained the City would receive a certain amount of the fine, the surcharges would go to the State for jails, training, security, etc. and a portion of each fine including traffic tickets would go to the State. She said the revenues/fines for no driver license or insurance stayed within the City.

Councilmember Gordon asked for clarification on the fine. Jodi Adamson explained a speeding citation would be \$130 and the City would retain \$85 and the rest would be sent to the State.

Ken Leetham commented the City budgeted \$351,000 in expenses for the Court and \$300,000 in revenue. He said with the addition of West Bountiful this allowed the City to get closer in covering court costs.

Councilmember Baskin asked about the term “governing council” in the budget. She also asked how often the Judge Pro Tem was used. Jodi Adamson replied the Judge Pro Tem Albert Pranno, a criminal defense attorney, provided free services in return for experience in the small claims cases.

Ken Leetham said the governing council in the budget was referencing the City Council.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if it was possible for youth groups to tour the court or for the students to use the room for mock trials. Jodi Adamson said it may be difficult during in person court as the room needed to be as secure as possible. She said this would require a bailiff through the police department.

Judge David Miller said one of the downsides of virtual court was the loss of public attendance. He said he would be a happy to make an appointment for in person visits but there would not be live court. He thanked the Council for their support and the courts clerks who were now known as judicial assistants. Judge Miller clarified that the prosecutor/public defender was not within the court but associated with the court through the Davis County Attorney’s Office. He spoke on the efficiency of the court with technology now and said they had 85 cases today.

Judge Miller mentioned the mission of the court to improve the quality of life in the community. He shared a letter from a citizen who had been able to turn his life around after court and an inpatient rehabilitation program. He explained that he gave the option of jail time and a fine or probation and a fine. He noted the terms of probation would be no new violations of the law, counseling, education, or treatment.

The City Council then toured the court facility.

Councilmember Baskin inquired about the due process concerns with almost all remote hearings now. Judge Miller responded he felt there was no impairment of due process rights.

Councilmember Baskin asked about the need for privacy in the assistants’ area and the need for security at the front window. Jodi Adamson described how she moves a partition in front of her desk to block the views of co-workers and patrons at the window when she conducts sensitive work. As to security, Judge Miller said there were no apparent issues.

### 3. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 6:55 p.m. to begin the regular session.

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-REGULAR SESSION  
ANCHOR LOCATION: CITY HALL  
10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE  
OCTOBER 4, 2022

**FINAL**

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 7:07 p.m. Natalie Gordon offered the thought and led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks  
Councilmember Lisa Watts Baskin  
Councilmember Natalie Gordon  
Councilmember Ted Knowlton  
Councilmember Stan Porter  
Councilmember Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; Karyn Baxter, City Engineer; David Frandsen, Assistant City Manager; Jon Rueckert, Assistant Public Works Director; Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Dee Lalliss, Ryan Holbrook, Carolyn Jacobson, Conrad Jacobson, residents; Taylor Spendlove, Brighton Homes Utah LLC; Regan Mott, My Utah Agents; Wilford Cannon, Eaglewood Development; Liz Sears, Layton resident; Carson Cronk, Legend Partners.

1. CITIZEN COMMENT

Liz Sears, 2532 Pinewood Lane, commented she had been in the real estate industry for 22 years and was on the Board of Directors for Northern Wasatch Board of Realtors. She shared that many residents considered high density housing detrimental but that builders had been incentivized to build second and third homes and not starter homes. Ms. Sears said that many residents were not able to afford starter homes because they no longer existed. She presented ideas to keep housing affordable including disincentivizing giant corporations from building high density rentals and incentivizing owner occupant properties by waiving impact fees. She stated owner occupant properties resulted in more stable communities through pride in ownership, etc.

Conrad Jacobson, 10 South Oakwood Drive, said Oakwood connected to Lacey Way which was under construction. He said while it was an arduous process, he commended the City and the builder for keeping access routes open.

Wilford Cannon, 6236 South Shenandoah Park, reported the fence on Eaglewood Drive adjacent to the Wood Museum would be replaced again this year and should be completed by October 31<sup>st</sup>.

2. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2022-37R: A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE'S INTENT TO CONSIDER ANNEXATION OF THE VAL VERDA AREA, ALSO KNOWN AS AREA B ON THE CITY'S ADOPTED ANNEXATION POLICY PLAN

Sherrie Pace reported that the City was considering an annexation under State statute 10-2-418 which allowed the City to annex an island of unincorporated area, was in the annexation policy plan, was not part of another city's annexation plan, and was an island or peninsula. She pointed out one requirement to use the Statute without a petition of annexation was if the County made a favorable recommendation. She reported the County held a public hearing on September 27<sup>th</sup> and passed a resolution making a recommendation to the City to consider annexation. She explained the next step would be for the City Council to consider this resolution to start the public input process. Ms. Pace stated the public hearing date would be scheduled for November 15<sup>th</sup>. She said staff would complete an analysis of the fiscal and operational impacts of an annexation and had scheduled a public open house on October 5<sup>th</sup> at Adelaide Elementary. She mentioned residents could meet with City staff to discuss various items related to the potential annexation such as public safety. She added following the public hearing proposed for November 15, 2022, the City Council could then consider an ordinance to annex the property with an effective date of January 1, 2023.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked about an area on the map that was north of the proposed annexation area. Sherrie Pace replied that it was part of the unincorporated area but not part of the City's annexation policy plan.

Councilmembers Knowlton and Gordon commented that they would not be able to attend the open house on October 5<sup>th</sup>.

**Councilmember Porter moved that the City Council approve Resolution 2022-37R declaring the City Council's intent to consider annexation of the Val Verda area (Annexation Area B) and directing staff to advertise a public hearing for November 15, 2022. Councilmember Baskin seconded the motion.**

Councilmember Van Langeveld commented that she did not support this resolution as the only compelling reason for annexation was for government efficiency. She said the County was not in the business of municipalities and as a resident of the county there was a benefit for government efficiency. However, she said her responsibility was to the City's residents and did not feel that the annexation was a benefit. She explained this was due to aging infrastructure and a tax benefit that would not be offset by the public works or public safety cost. She then said the residents in

that area were against annexation into the City. She felt there was no urgency to annex the area right now.

Councilmember Van Langeveld suggested a public opinion poll to see what residents wanted, or to have the County place this on a ballot, or if there was a County imbalance in the services to that area that the tax burden to those residents should match the cost.

Councilmember Porter said the biggest concern was City police services to the area. He explained how the South Davis Metro Fire Service Area solved the problem of equitable fire services and the prior push to form a similar police district which did not work. He said the City previously offered to take over police services for the unincorporated area from the County but was denied. Councilmember Porter said the City continued to provide police services to the area when necessary but were not compensated. He said County services were slower to respond to emergencies due to the distance. Councilmember Porter said there was only a small portion of the residents in the annexation area that attended the County meeting. He expressed his biggest concern was emergency services in the annexation area. Councilmember Porter also spoke on the Foxboro area and the original opposition to that development and what a great part of the City it was now.

Councilmember Van Langeveld agreed with Councilmember Porter in that the annexation would be more efficient, the desire for public safety, and the City could provide better services but felt this was all an inefficiency at the County level. She said the residents in the annexation area did not want to be part of the City and felt that this was a drain on staff due to the public outcry and opposition that had already occurred.

Councilmember Porter spoke on the drain to City and County staff to this point. He said that the City would continue to be drained even if the annexation failed.

Mayor Horrocks said there was a commitment from City staff for analysis prior to any final vote. He clarified the City would not make money on the annexation but did not want to sacrifice too much either. He mentioned the County was anxious to not provide services that could be provided more efficiently by the City and the increased cost these residents would pay if they were not annexed. He spoke on the small number of residents who attended the County meeting in opposition to the annexation and the larger number of those residents that did not attend.

Ken Leetham said the timeline could be adjusted to allow staff more time to analyze costs and revenues and to better determine the feelings of residents in the annexation area. He said this was a weighty decision to expand the territory of the City and advised the Council not to feel pressured by the proposed dates.

Councilmember Gordon commented that she felt the County was throwing the City under the bus by making it look like the City requested the annexation. She said it would be better for those

residents to be annexed but spoke on how those in attendance at the meeting did not want to be part of the City. Councilmember Gordon spoke on how the County made every other resident subsidize the cost of services and once it stopped those residents in the annexation area may be more likely to want to be annexed. She suggested revisiting this item in the future.

Councilmember Porter stated he did not think the County would do anything and the residents in the annexation would continue to rely on the City's police services.

Councilmember Knowlton said anytime there was a big change, such as the annexation, it was an emotional issue that may be less acute over time. He spoke on how this was not an indication of how they may feel in a year. He said the Council was not voting to annex tonight but voting to be deliberate and follow a process to make a decision. Councilmember Knowlton mentioned the annexation would never be an easy process and would not get easier so it was important to look at what made sense.

Councilmember Van Langeveld stated she felt there was not any urgency to annex the area. She said if the annexation never became easier that the City should not go against the wishes of those residents. She felt this was an inefficiency of County government that could be remedied through taxation or surcharges for services.

Councilmember Baskin identified the concerns raised by Councilmember Van Langeveld such as aging infrastructure, tax benefits not offsetting the cost, overwhelming public outcry, and no urgency for annexation. She suggested voting on the intent to consider annexation and then asking the County to fund a poll to ask residents for their opinion. She said her constituents were City residents but the process should be fair to everyone as those residents in the annexation area could become constituents. She said to cut off the process tonight would be premature and should be seriously discussed.

Mayor Horrocks commented he felt comfortable saying that none of those residents would want to annex into the City at this point because they were fine with the status quo; however, status quo was no longer an option.

Councilmember Knowlton asked how long the current annexation law been in place whereby the County could recommend annexation by a city. Todd Godfrey replied it had been approximately 20 years.

**The motion was approved by Councilmembers Baskin, Knowlton, and Porter.  
Councilmembers Van Langeveld and Gordon voted in opposition to the motion.**

3. CONSIDERATION OF PLAT AMENDMENT TO LOTS 28B AND 28C OF THE NORTH SALT LAKE INDUSTRIAL PARK PLAT C, LOCATED AT 450 AND 470 WEST 100 NORTH, VRM PROPERTIES LLC, TYLER MCNEIL, APPLICANT

Sherrie Pace reported this was a minor plat amendment to the North Salt Lake Industrial Park Plat C Lots 28B and 28C subdivision. She explained this subdivision encompassed multiple lots including Lots 28B (450 West 100 North) and 28C (470 West 100 North) which were each 1.974 acres in size with 215 feet of frontage on 100 North. She noted at some point Lot 28C was illegally subdivided in half and incorporated into Lot 28B. She acknowledged Davis County records indicate that this change has been recognized since at least December 1981. She stated the applicant, owner of Lot 28B, would like to sell the portion of 28C that was combined into their property. She explained to sell that portion of the property separately a plat amendment would be necessary to reconfigure the lot lines and make the lots legal. She showed an aerial view of the property and said the jogs in the lot line were due to an existing gate as well as curb and gutter. She mentioned this lot line would also ensure that Lot 28E would be one acre in size. She reported the Planning Commission reviewed this item and made a favorable recommendation of approval with the conditional use approval of the minimum lot size reduction.

**Councilmember Knowlton moved that the City Council approve the plat amendment to subdivide Lots 28B and 28C of the North Salt Lake Industrial Park Plat C Subdivision located at 450 and 470 West 100 North with the following condition:**

- 1) **Correction of the remaining redline pertaining to the title of the plat.**

**Councilmember Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

4. CONSIDERATION OF FINAL PLAT APPROVAL FOR EAGLEWOOD COVE SUBDIVISION PHASE 15, PLAT 1, A 2-LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION LOCATED AT 1380 EAST AND 616 SOUTH WOOD OAKS LOOP, EWC 15 DEVELOPMENT LLC, WILFORD CANNON, APPLICANT

Sherrie Pace reported preliminary plat approval was granted for Phases 13, 14, and 15. She shared an aerial view of the property. She noted final plat approval had been granted to Phase 13 which was currently under construction. She mentioned the developer requested platting two lots in Phase 15 as they already had frontage on the portion of the road that was being constructed in Phase 13. She indicated all the minimum standards have been met including approval of the geotechnical report by the City consultant. She stated the Planning Commission recommended approval with three conditions.

Mayor Horrocks asked how the project was doing overall. Wilford Cannon, Eaglewood Development, replied the project was going well and the asphalt had been installed for all of Phase 13. He spoke on lot sales and said investors had purchased 14 of the 39 lots and were now selling to buyers on their waiting list.

Councilmember Baskin questioned why these two lots in Phase 15 would need to proceed now. Sherrie Pace responded that there was a buyer for those two lots now.

Wilford Cannon commented there was buyer for a lot in Phase 13 that had a relative who wanted to live next door and that lot adjacent lot was in Phase 15. He clarified if they were finishing the road between 1501 they may as well complete lot 1520 as well.

**Councilmember Baskin moved that the City Council approve the final plat for Eaglewood Cove Subdivision, Phase 15, Plat 1 located at approximately 616 South and 1380 East Wood Oaks Loop, subject to the following findings and conditions:**

**Findings:**

- 1) **The proposed final plat meets the minimum standards of the land use code for final plat approval;**
- 2) **The geotechnical report has been approved by the City consultant and the plat has been designed to meet the specific standards and recommendations contained therein;**
- 3) **The plat contains the appropriate notes regarding the individual lots and requirements of the geotechnical report.**

**Conditions:**

- 1) **Any remaining engineering redline corrections be completed prior to recordation of final plat.**

**Councilmember Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

5. CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE 2022-07: AN ORDINANCE REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 2596 SOUTH AND 1095 NORTH REDWOOD ROAD, FROM GENERAL COMMERCIAL (CG) TO P DISTRICT AND APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT (2022-25A) FOR CLIFTON PLACE, BRIGHTON HOMES UTAH LLC, APPLICANT

Sherrie Pace reported the General Development Plan for Clifton Place was approved on July 19, 2022. She stated the applicant has returned for a zone change to a Planned (P) District and

development agreement approval. She explained the South Davis Metro Fire has requested an additional buffer between their property and the proposed location of Unit 18. She showed the current location of Unit 18 and the proposed relocation on the site plan.

Ms. Pace spoke on parking and said additional parking was added to the plan for a total number of 568 parking stalls and 185 total residential units. She said there was a question on the development agreement related to individual ownership and the ability for the developer to rent several units in the event that they were unable to sell them given the current economy and interest rates. She indicated the developer requested that they could rent units in Phase 1 and 2 no longer than three years after the certificate of occupancy was issued for each building.

Councilmember Gordon asked if the tot lot on the northern parcel was located off Cutler Drive. She asked if there would be a walkway to the commercial area with a gate. Sherrie Pace showed the location of the tot lot. She said the walkway shown on the site plan was a designated ADA route.

Councilmember Van Langeveld questioned if it was possible to specify the curbs be striped no parking. She said if cars were allowed to park on both sides of the street that there would be no access. Sherrie Pace replied this would not be part of the P District but a directive given to staff once the development was built.

Councilmember Knowlton suggested a pedestrian connection to the Redwood Trail between lots 50 and 51 on the southside. He suggested a pedestrian connection into future commercial on the northern side. Sherrie Pace responded there were some pedestrian connections between lots 50 and 54 as well as a connection to the commercial. She said a connection on the northern side could be specified in the development agreement.

Councilmember Porter asked if the development agreement required continuation of the trail. Sherrie Pace replied the agreement specified that the trail be relocated to the appropriate location.

Councilmember Baskin asked for clarification on how the exception would work as stated in the development agreement. She read the language which said developer could temporarily retain sole ownership and rent any number of units...for up to three years. Sherrie Pace responded this could be reworded that they would retain units on the north half and that each unit could only be rented for a period of three years from certificate of occupancy.

Taylor Spendlove, Brighton Development, commented that in any rental project they generally had one year leases and they were staggered so that there were not multiple vacant units. He stated they would not allow any three year leases but would have one year, nine month, and six month leases. He said they were requesting this as an option and said this project did not work as a rental project. He reported they would have units occupiable next year and hoped that in a year

interest rates would stabilize and they could see where inflation was at. Mr. Spendlove clarified that they had not had a sale in any of their projects in three weeks and had heard the same thing from other builders.

**Councilmember Van Langeveld moved that the City Council approve ORD2022-07 rezoning property located at approximately 2596 South and 1095 North Redwood Road, from General Commercial (CG) to P-District and approving a development agreement (2022-26A) for Clifton Place with Brighton Homes Utah LLC with the following conditions:**

- 1) The development agreement be corrected to reflect that Brighton Homes may retain any units on the north half of the development for rental for no longer than three years for any individual unit;**
- 2) Brighton Homes will include at least one pedestrian connection in both the north and south portions of the development;**
- 3) Correction of planning and engineering redlines prior to final plat review; and**
- 4) Council recommendation to staff that curbs are painted red for no parking on 1100 North from Redwood Road to Cutler Drive on the southside of the development on one side of the road.**

Sherrie Pace clarified that the pedestrian walkway on the north side would be from the townhomes to the Redwood Road Trail.

**Councilmember Van Langeveld amended her motion to include that the pedestrian connection on the north side would be from the townhomes to the Redwood Road Trail.**

Taylor Spendlove asked if “no parking” signage could be installed instead of painting the curbs red for aesthetic reasons. Councilmember Van Langeveld was in favor of the red curbs.

**Councilmember Knowlton seconded the motion.**

Councilmember Gordon wanted to ensure that the commercial would be located in the front of the lot with the parking between the commercial and the residential units. Taylor Spendlove clarified this was specified in the development agreement.

Councilmember Porter asked that the request for red curb was reviewed by the City’s Safety Committee.

**Councilmember Van Langeveld amended her motion to recommend the City’s Safety Committee that the curbs be painted red for no parking at their discretion.**

Sherrie Pace clarified that on page 13 of the development agreement the commercial buildings would be oriented to the intersection corners and streets with direct pedestrian entrances and

connections to the Redwood Road Trail and 1100 North with a maximum setback that would require the parking to be in the rear.

**Councilmember Porter seconded the amended motion.**

Councilmember Baskin spoke on the three year rental unit request and asked about a shorter period of time. Taylor Spendlove responded this was just an avenue to deal with inflation issues and any potential future issues. He said they wanted to sell the units but was unsure where interest rates were going.

Councilmember Baskin was in favor of a shorter rental period for the units.

**Councilmember Van Langeveld amended her motion that the development agreement be corrected to reflect that Brighton Homes may retain any units on the north half of the development for rental for no longer than two years for any individual unit.**

**Councilmember Porter seconded the amended motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

6. CONSIDERATION OF PRELIMINARY PLAN APPROVAL FOR CLIFTON LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 2596 SOUTH AND 1095 NORTH REDWOOD ROAD, PROPOSING 185 FOR SALE TOWNHOMES AND TWO COMMERCIAL LOTS, TAYLOR SPENDLOVE, BRIGHTON HOMES UTAH LLC, APPLICANT

Sherrie Pace reported the Planning Commission made a favorable recommendation for preliminary plat with correction of planning and engineering redlines as well as finalization of the Development Agreement.

**Councilmember Knowlton moved that the City Council approve the preliminary plan for Clifton Place located at approximately 2596 South and 1095 North Redwood Road with the following condition:**

- 1) Correction of planning and engineering redlines prior to final plat review.

**Councilmember Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

7. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2022-38R: A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE OSTLER SUBDIVISION FINAL PLAT LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 1950 SOUTH 1100 WEST IN WOODS CROSS

Sherrie Pace reported Woods Cross City contacted the City regarding a two lot subdivision which required the City's consent to record and dedicate a public right-of-way. She stated this two lot subdivision would be located across the street from a City owned property. She mentioned as part of the plat approval the owner of the subdivision was dedicating right of way to Woods Cross for the portion of their parcel which extended to the center line of 1100 West. She explained the County Recorder's office discovered that the NSL City property has a legal description that also extends into the right-of-way and overlaps the developer's property being dedicated. She indicated the County has required that the City sign the consent to record and dedicate the plat, thus dedicating that overlapped portion of City property for the existing right-of-way as well.

Ms. Pace acknowledged it was determined that the City Council authorize the dedication by resolution and authorize the mayor to execute the dedication. She stated the property was already part of the right of way and would have no impact on the City improvements on our property.

Sherrie Pace said the two lots were approximately one acre total at 11,000 and 10,000 square feet respectively.

**Councilmember Knowlton moved that the City Council approve Resolution 2022-38R authorizing the mayor to sign the right of way dedication for the Ostler Subdivision plat located at 1950 South 1100 West Woods Cross, UT. Councilmember Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

8. DISCUSSION RELATED TO THE CITY'S PARTICIPATION IN THE SENIOR LUNCH BUNCH

Councilmember Baskin said the Senior Lunch Bunch was a group of citizens that met once a month to have lunch, activities, and a presentation. She requested the City increase its financial participation and other assistance to the group of seniors. She shared that Woods Cross provides lunch one a month for 90 residents at the cost of \$6,000 per year. She reported the Woods Cross senior group also had a birthday celebration, a calling tree list, nametags, and Bingo.

Conrad Jacobson spoke on the original organization of the senior lunch bunch and the offerings for the Woods Cross seniors. He said they would appreciate any support from the City including a financial increase.

Dee Lalliss said it would be approximately \$15 per person per month. He acknowledged this could cost the City up to \$10,800 annually. He commented that overall, he wanted to see more seniors participate and this included nametags and recognizing birthdays.

Councilmembers Porter and Baskin were in favor of providing additional funds.

Carolyn Jacobson thanked the City for being available to meet with the seniors including Chief Black.

The consensus of the Council was for staff to prepare a budget amendment to provide additional funding for the Senior Lunch Bunch.

Councilmember Van Langeveld suggested that in the future the Senior Lunch Bunch coordinator could receive a stipend similar to the Planning Commission position.

#### 9. CONSIDERATION OF HIRING RECOMMENDATION FOR THE CITY'S PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

Ken Leetham reported the hiring committee for the Public Works Director position included Mayor Horrocks, Councilmembers Van Langeveld and Gordon, David Frandsen, and himself. He said there were fewer applicants than normal but they had four finalists for the position including Jon Rueckert and another Public Works employee. He indicated the decision was made to recommend Jon Rueckert for the position.

**Councilmember Porter moved that the City Council approve the proposed appointment of Jon Rueckert as the Public Works Director. Councilmember Gordon seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

#### 10. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

The City Council minutes of September 20, 2022 were reviewed and approved.

**Councilmember Van Langeveld moved that the City Council approve meeting minutes from September 20, 2022 with stated corrections. Councilmember Porter seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Council Members Baskin, Gordon, Knowlton, Porter, and Van Langeveld.**

#### 11. ACTION ITEMS

The action items list was reviewed. Completed items were removed from the list.

## 12. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Todd Godfrey had nothing to report.

## 13. COUNCIL REPORTS

Councilmember Van Langeveld stated how grateful she was to live in the City and how it functioned administratively and in the political process.

Councilmember Knowlton spoke on the bike ride in conjunction with Councilmembers from Bountiful and Centerville, Commissioner Elliot, and Senator Weiler. He said UDOT Region 1 picked the City's Town Center as the place they would do an internal walk to experience life as a pedestrian.

Councilmember Knowlton also reported on a Utah State University survey that included 20 cities on resident wellbeing. He said he would share Bountiful's survey data with the Council.

Councilmember Porter spoke on the trail ride and said he met an engineer who was working on the 1100 North bridge. He said one of the comments was the need for mayors, councilmembers, etc. needed to share their comments with UDOT. He also invited Dan Cottam, a former member of the Parks and Trails Committee, to attend the trail ride. He mentioned at some point he and Dan Cottam were both appointed to the Bonneville Shoreline Trail subcommittee, but Dan was unsure what his current status was on the committee. Councilmember Porter had recommended he attend a meeting to either be reappointed or confirm if he was still the City's representative for the Bonneville Shoreline Trail.

## 14. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Horrocks reported the South Davis Recreation Center had the largest aquatic program and the largest Junior Jazz program in the State. He spoke on budget shortfalls and raising taxes and said the Rec Center was collecting about one third of the tax revenue of the Cottonwood Recreation Center but had twice as many people in the district.

Mayor Horrocks spoke on available funding sources including Wasatch Front Regional Council, the NFRA, the County, etc. and said the City should be applying for these funds.

## 15. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

Ken Leetham reported on the 1100 North project and said it was at a point where Union Pacific had denied or withheld approval of the project. He said there would be a presentation at the next City Council meeting to discuss options.

Ken Leetham commented that Davis County Commissioner Lorene Kamalu would be in attendance at the annexation open house tomorrow night.

Ken Leetham spoke on a GRAMA request for all communications related to the annexation. He indicated this included emails, letters, etc. sent to the Mayor, City Council and staff. He stated staff would determine if text messages were also required.

16. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 9:23 p.m.

*The foregoing was approved by the City Council of the City of North Salt Lake on Tuesday October 18, 2022 by unanimous vote of all members present.*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian J. Horrocks, Mayor

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Wendy Page, City Recorder