

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-WORK SESSION  
ANCHOR LOCATION: CITY HALL  
10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE  
NOVEMBER 1, 2022

**FINAL**

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 6:02 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks  
Councilmember Lisa Watts Baskin via Zoom  
Councilmember Natalie Gordon  
Councilmember Stan Porter  
Councilmember Alisa Van Langeveld

EXCUSED: Councilmember Ted Knowlton

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; Karyn Baxter, City Engineer; David Frandsen, Assistant City Manager; Jon Rueckert, Public Works Director; Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Tyler Abegglen, Golf Course General Manager; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Lorene Kamalu, Randy Elliott, Davis County Commissioners; Curtis Koch, Davis County Clerk/Auditor; Jeff Oyler, Davis County Planner; Dee Lalliss, Len Arave, residents.

1. DISCUSSION WITH DAVIS COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGARDING THE  
POTENTIAL ANNEXATION OF UNINCORPORATED DAVIS COUNTY AREA  
KNOWN AS THE VAL VERDA AREA AND ANY OTHER ISSUES OF INTEREST

Lorene Kamalu, Davis County Commissioner, commended the City's public open house related to the potential annexation of unincorporated Davis County area. She felt it and the handout were the best format to present information to the public. The County's tentative budget was approved today and included a section on the special services area (the proposed annexation area).

Randy Elliott, Davis County Commissioner, said they were there to answer questions and thanked the City for their consideration in annexing the area.

Mayor Horrocks said one of the difficulties with the annexation was the two options for the residents in the proposed area of maintaining the status quo or being annexed into the City. He asked the Commissioners if those residents had the option of maintaining the status quo.

Commissioner Kamalu replied the County's General Plan did not intend to have remaining unincorporated areas. She mentioned Bountiful would also provide a public statement that this area was not, and had not been, part of their annexation plan for many years.

Jeff Oyler, Davis County Planner, said he spoke with Bountiful's City Manager this afternoon and was told that their city council planned to make an official statement that they had no intention of annexing any additional areas into their city.

Mayor Horrocks commented that if the City determined not to annex the area then the status quo would remain for those residents in the unincorporated area. He asked if the residents would be better off in that instance. Jeff Oyler responded it would remain unincorporated County land. He said the County was limiting the services out there as it was fiscally irresponsible and inefficient for the County to service those areas. He noted response times and services to that area would be better if they were provided by the City.

Curtis Koch, Davis County Clerk/Auditor, explained he was not a commissioner or a decision maker for the County. He said the area was surrounded by the cities and did not meet the definition of unincorporated area for Davis County. He reported one of the largest expenses in the special service area was weed control on the lakefront for phragmites. He mentioned it made sense for the area to be in a city as it was an urban area and the County would not be the best service provider in the long run. Mr. Koch said the budget for the unincorporated area was \$59,000 in 2020 compared to \$460,000 in 2023. He noted in his perspective when looking at the finances and the long term benefits to the citizens it made sense for the annexation to occur.

Councilmember Gordon commented it was not fiscally responsible for the County to continue to provide services to this unincorporated area. She asked about the current tax rate and if their services were being subsidized by other incomes into the County. Curtis Koch replied that he analyzed the fund balance back to the year 2000 to see how much could have been subsidized by building permit fees, etc. He explained at least 77% of the fund balance was based off of property taxes collected and how overall this was not sustainable.

Councilmember Gordon asked if the County had considered raising the taxes for those in the unincorporated area to cover the services. Curtis Koch replied the tax rate would have to be adjusted soon but would not occur this year.

Commissioner Kamalu read the information provided for the special services area in the County budget and said this fund was designed to appropriate and track expenditures related to municipal type services provided to those living in the unincorporated areas of the County. She indicated those services included public safety, fire protection, animal control, streets, weed control, planning and engineering, and general administration. She continued revenues for these services were provided by a dedicated tax rate currently set at .000641, sales tax revenues, and user fees. Commissioner Kamalu added as the cost of providing public safety and fire services to

these special services areas increased and with anticipated property annexations to Davis County cities, this fund and more specifically the tax rate in this area would need to be reviewed.

Councilmember Gordon wondered if taxes had been increased several years ago, the residents of the annexation area would be more anxious to join the City. She said if the taxes were raised now, it did not mean the area would never be part of the City, but then residents would see it was less expensive to join the City and would request annexation in the future. She stated she would be more supportive of the annexation in a few years when the residents were more understanding of the financial responsibilities.

Councilmember Van Langeveld commented that this was a collaboration between the City and the County but also felt it was premature to annex the area. She was also in favor of the tax burden being placed on those residents and not spread out among the County. She felt one reason to delay annexation was due to the public outcry in opposition and thought a tax increase may help with that issue. She asked about the urgency for the City in annexing the area or waiting until the residents were more prepared to move into the City.

Commissioner Elliott said per the law it would be up to the residents to petition to join the City if the annexation did not occur at this time. He explained it was his understanding that the County could not initiate a petition for this annexation again.

Councilmember Gordon expressed her opinion if the residents had to pay their fair share and understood the inefficiencies, they would approach the City for annexation.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if the City did not vote to annex the area by the end of the year and the County could no longer petition for annexation if the City could create the petition for annexation.

Councilmember Porter spoke on the Odell Lane annexation and also the 1992 annexation and said the post office suggested an address change which prompted the process. He mentioned some of the newspaper articles he had read where residents petitioned to be part of Bountiful but many names were removed from that petition. He said another group took their lawsuit to the Utah Supreme Court who refused to review it. Councilmember Porter mentioned the fee charged by South Davis Fire District for the area. He spoke to the police response in the unincorporated area. He expressed his opinion the annexation was something that needed to happen and understood that people were upset.

Councilmember Porter wondered if Amazon/point of sales tax was being collected by Bountiful and not the County based on the addresses of those in the unincorporated area. He also asked how much the calculations were on the average home for the South Davis Fire District.

Curtis Koch replied the budgeted fee for the unincorporated areas for South Davis Metro Fire was \$313,803 in 2023. He spoke on police services and continued discussion at the County level on what would be the appropriate coverage and most efficient. He mentioned a special service district with a set tax rate for those services in that area could be established but the County was hoping to avoid that option. Councilmember Gordon commented that those residents in the unincorporated area heard it was inefficient for the County and not them. She said those residents needed to see why it was inefficient for them.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked why a petition could never be revisited. Todd Godfrey replied that he did not see anything in the State statutes that prevented this from occurring but said there could be a County ordinance that prevented it. He said the City could initiate an annexation.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said she would support annexation in the future after these issues had been addressed by the County. She suggested revisiting this annexation in a year.

Commissioner Kamalu commented on learning the importance of separating the public clamor with what was best for the community. She said education could be done and taxes could be raised but it would still be difficult for the City to annex this property and have residents be happy about it.

Councilmember Gordon said sometimes the government was inefficient and it was up to the County to let those in the annexation area know why it was a problem to maintain the status quo. She said this annexation request could return to the City after the County held a truth in taxation hearing to raise taxes for those in the area because then they would understand that inefficiency.

Mayor Horrocks mentioned Chevron used to be part of the City and had annexed out. He asked if Chevron was subsidizing the annexation area and if they would potentially annex back into the City. Jeff Oyler responded he would have to review property taxes but the big contributor was personal property. He said he had not heard any discussion related to Chevron annexing back into the City.

Councilmember Porter commented the City could petition to annex Chevron but they could de-annex based on State law if they could prove the City did not provide services. He said Chevron had their own security and fire department but said this occurred over 30 years ago so he was unsure of the status now.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked why there was an urgency for the annexation now. She asked why the annexation could not be delayed for several years while the County held a truth in taxation hearing. Commissioner Kamalu replied this annexation had been considered for a long time but was a difficult process; however, knowing there would be opposition and challenges, the current governing bodies had finally been willing to attempt something hard.

Councilmember Van Langeveld voiced her desire to move towards an annexation in the future but she personally had no urgency to do this now. She felt there were a few steps to do before moving forward with the annexation.

Councilmember Porter commented hard decisions were made all the time and while people may be upset the Council had to look at the facts and make decisions on what was important to the community as a whole. He gave the example of Foxboro and said if this had been based on the public opinion at the time it would not exist. He suggested it may be helpful to have preliminary estimates of what the County property taxes might be with the needed increase.

Jeff Oyler said collectively the tax increase may not change resident's minds as the amount would most likely not be that large of an increase. He spoke on the Val Verde area and said that plans for annexation had been discussed since the 1990s and had been a possibility since the 1950s.

Councilmember Van Langeveld spoke on the comment that taxes would not be raised so high that it would offset public opinion. She felt this was contradictory to the statement that having the area serviced by the County was inefficient. She said if it was inefficient then it could be shown in numbers and should be reflected in their taxation.

Jeff Oyler said there was no question the taxpayers in the County were subsidizing these areas. He explained they could review the information but felt it would have little impact on changing the opinions currently being expressed in opposition to the annexation. He noted those residents would probably complain about the minor tax increase of being annexed into the City as well. He indicated the two common concerns that had been expressed to him were the proposed change of address and many owners desired to be part of Bountiful City.

Commissioner Kamalu reiterated the question about sales tax collection from Amazon. Curtis Koch replied when reviewing historical sales tax there was a large jump that seemed to support the collection of online sales tax.

Ken Leetham said previous Councils and County Commissioners worked on this issue. He understood the concerns and had a clearer picture of those because of this discussion. He explained the City in the past and the County at present had requested the area be annexed. He explained staff had the assignment to put together a detailed report for the Council prior to the public hearing. Mr. Leetham stated while the annexation could be delayed, he wanted to share some data mostly related to public safety that should not be ignored. He said the area averaged 225 calls per year for police response over the last eight years and 83% of those calls had a wait of over 10 minutes, 61% of the calls had a 15 minute wait, and 42% were waiting over 20 minutes. He expressed his opinion these statistics should be addressed even if it was not through annexation at this time such as through a contractual relationship. He commented about taxation

and fee increases and was not certain if that strategy would be something to convince a majority of the residents to petition the City to be annexed in the future.

Councilmembers Porter, Van Langeveld, and Mayor Horrocks thanked the County Commissioners for their efforts and willingness to work with the City.

Commission Kamalu mentioned the City's public hearing on the annexation was scheduled for November 15, 2022 and none of the commissioners would be able to come because they would be attending a conference. She appreciated the Council's willingness to meet to discuss the matter.

## 2. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 7:14 p.m. to begin the regular session.

---

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-REGULAR SESSION  
ANCHOR LOCATION: CITY HALL  
10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE  
NOVEMBER 1, 2022

**FINAL**

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 7:23 p.m. Stan Porter offered the prayer and led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks  
Councilmember Lisa Watts Baskin via Zoom  
Councilmember Natalie Gordon  
Councilmember Stan Porter  
Councilmember Alisa Van Langeveld

EXCUSED: Councilmember Ted Knowlton

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; Karyn Baxter, City Engineer; David Frandsen, Assistant City Manager; Jon Rueckert, Public Works Director; Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Mark Anderson, Brandon Johnson, Zions Public Finance; Darren Eyre, CRS Engineers; Alisha Copfer, Davis Journals; Dee Lalliss, Len Arave, residents; Brian Myers.

1. CITIZEN COMMENT

There were no citizen comments.

2. PRESENTATION-MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDING  
SEPTEMBER 30, 2022

Heidi Voordeckers reported on the financial report for the period ending September 30, 2022 with three months into the fiscal year. The General Fund revenues were at 16% collected, expenditures were at 26.1%, and revenues were time dependent on property tax to be received in November. Permitting and impact fees lagged per the prior year with staff to review and potentially recast revenues. Budgets have been carried over fiscal years in the development funds for projects that cross over these fiscal years. She spoke on sales tax and the key industries with collection up 15% in July. Sales tax was 40% of the General Fund budget compared to 19% with property taxes. The top five sectors that made up 50% of the City's sales tax revenues were

motor vehicle/retail sales, real estate/rentals/leasing, retail/non-store, wholesale trade/durable goods, and manufacturing. Ms. Voordeckers reported that from 2018 to 2021 motor vehicle sales were up 16%, real estate/rentals/leasing were up 147%, online sales were up 298%, wholesale was up 35%, and manufacturing was up 35%.

Councilmember Gordon asked what sources generated the real estate/rentals/leasing funds. Heidi Voordeckers replied she believed it came from apartment rentals but would need to look into it to be sure and send the information to the Council.

Councilmember Porter asked if apartments charged sales tax. Heidi Voordeckers commented that she would have to review the State's Tax Commission website to see what this category encompassed and what types of businesses were included.

Heidi Voordeckers clarified that the point of sale for online sales, including those in the proposed annexation area, was determined by the zip code plus the last four numbers. She had contacted the State and learned those last four numbers identified where the funds were collected.

### 3. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2022-39R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING BUDGET AMENDMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023

Heidi Voordeckers summarized the requested amendments by fund and key area including an increase in Restricted Streets of \$12,000 for repair and maintenance of guardrails, \$26,000 in street lighting for repair and maintenance at the Ridge, \$125,000 in Parks Department for the addition of two new employees, \$12,000 in Parks for turf at Deer Hollow Park, \$2,500 in Special Events for the Youth City Council Easter Egg Hunt, and \$10,000 for Senior Lunch Bunch program.

Heidi Voordeckers spoke on the Capital Funds, with a net increase in Parks and Roadway Development of \$1,645,278 from Fund Balance. She reported the total expenditure increase for the General Fund of \$187,500. She noted that between the two Capital Funds the majority would be in the Park Development Fund, that included impact fees and RAP Tax. She mentioned the request for the Legacy Park Trail project at \$408,560, the Hatch Park property acquisition at \$1 million, and trail improvements at Foxboro hollow Park \$181,718.

Ms. Voordeckers stated there was an increase in the Roadway Development Fund of \$55,000 for the Redwood Road sidewalk connection. She acknowledged in the Utility Funds the net increase in expenditures in both water and storm was \$1.4 million in FEMA revenues for water system generators which was approved by the Council. She indicated this grant would cross fiscal years and unspent funds would be carried forward. She highlighted revenues which were \$1,463,985 and the cost of improvements was \$1,633,985 with a net cost of \$170,000. She stated the professional and technical services of \$13,200 increase was for the BCA study related to the

grant for the Honeywell reconstruction. Ms. Voordeckers noted in the Storm Water Fund a requested increase of \$18,000 for the addition of a water feature. She stated in the Golf Fund a net expenditure increase of \$175,000 related to the City taking over operations of the Café/Restaurant related to the termination agreement with the former service provider. She mentioned anticipated revenues from café sales were \$100,000 with \$52,500 wages and benefits for staffing café, cost of sales of \$40,000 for food and beverages, and \$7,500 for general operations and advertising.

Heidi Voordeckers explained the City was required to formally allocate the use of the State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (ARPA) Funds that were received in July of this year. She stated the budget amendment proposed allocating an amount not to exceed \$1,239,604.50 for the provision of general government services in the way of public safety wages and benefits.

Councilmember Baskin asked for the figures to be identified that showed the budget total and the budget increase. She explained her calculations showed a different amount. Heidi Voordeckers replied the total budget increase was approximately \$2 million with half coming from property acquisition and split between all of the funds. She explained the negative numbers were revenues coming in. She said it would be the total of use of fund balance at the end of each section.

**At 7:43 p.m. Mayor Horrocks opened the public hearing for budget adjustments. There were no public comments and at 7:44 p.m. he closed the public hearing.**

Councilmember Baskin was thankful for the increase towards the Senior Lunch Bunch. Councilmember Baskin asked for the stated amount of the net change of all funds being amended with this budget amendment. She said that she could not vote in favor of the motion until she was certain of the amounts. There was discussion about what that amount was, and it was determined to be \$2,173,978.

**Councilmember Porter moved that the City Council approve Resolution 2022-39R: A Resolution Adopting an Amendment to Adjust the Fiscal Year 2022~2023 General Fund, Park Development Fund, Roadway Development Fund, Water Fund, Storm Water Fund, and Golf Fund budgets and allocating State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds for Public Safety Wages and Benefits. Councilmember Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Baskin, Gordon, Porter, and Van Langeveld. Councilmember Knowlton was excused.**

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE 2022-08: AN ORDINANCE APPROVING AN INCREASE IN CITY COUNCIL COMPENSATION

Ken Leetham reported that the last increase was in 2013 or 2014. He suggested the increase should be reasonable based on comparable cities and assignments.

Mayor Horrocks commented it had been about ten years since the last increase and asked for a recommendation from the Council. The staff did not provide any recommendation.

Councilmember Porter said there was more to consider than just the hours including liability such as lawsuits.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said it would be helpful to consider whether this was wages, compensation, or a stipend. She said one safety issue was that individuals could find her address which was a cost that could not be offset by money. She stated another consideration was the number of hours served each week.

Councilmember Gordon commented she was obtaining an online Master's degree and how the current stipend did not cover the tuition. She suggested to consider whether the amount was enough for education or trainings and to cover the time lost from work.

Ken Leetham said in his opinion these were not wages but a stipend as it was more hard costs, time away from other things, and the view that this was a service position. He compared other city benefits and said Bountiful council members received a higher amount including a mileage stipend.

Councilmember Baskin commented at fifteen hours a month for twelve months amounted to 180 hours of public service per year. She said there were also some financial losses experienced from diverted work at one's regular employment, including employment wages through billable hours for example

Councilmember Van Langeveld said the stipend for the City Council was in the middle compared to other cities. She asked if the city's employee wages were comparable as well. Ken Leetham replied that salary analysis had been done this year and the City was competitive for executive level staff at the higher end of 30 percent to 40 percent above average.

Councilmember Porter said the staff's data did not specify when the stipend was set in other cities and some cities may be considering raising the amount.

Ken Leetham commented that Syracuse was the only city that he knew of that had recently increased their amount.

**At 8:04 p.m. Mayor Horrocks opened the public hearing for budget adjustments. There were no public comments and at 8:05 p.m. he closed the public hearing.**

Councilmember Gordon averaged Hurricane and Bountiful cities which meant the salary for the Mayor would be \$23,300 and \$11,100 for the City Council annually. Then she questioned if that was not enough of an increase.

**Councilmember Gordon moved to increase the salary for the mayor to \$23,300 per year and \$11,100 for the City Council Members. Councilmember Porter seconded the motion.**

Councilmember Baskin commented that while \$58 per hour was adequate, there were many intangibles at play and each member brought a certain skill level to the council positions.

**Councilmember Baskin made a substitute motion to approve Ordinance 2022-08 increasing the salaries and stipends amount to \$27,000 per year or \$2,250.00 per month for the Mayor and \$14,000 per year or \$1,166.67 per month for the City Council Members. Councilmember Porter seconded the motion.**

Councilmember Gordon felt the proposed amount was too high.

**The motion was approved by Councilmembers Baskin, Porter, and Van Langeveld. Councilmember Gordon voted in opposition to the motion. Councilmember Knowlton was excused.**

5. CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED PURCHASE OF PUMPS FOR WILD ROSE TRAILHEAD PARK, 1100 NORTH, AND FOX HOLLOW PARK FOR THE STATE CONTRACT PRICE OF \$93,100

Jon Rueckert reported on the need for replacement pumps at Wild Rose Trailhead Park and the 1100 North swales. He explained the variable frequency drive was broken on the pump at Wild Rose Trail. He noted repair costs would be similar to replacement costs.

Mr. Rueckert stated the pump at the 1100 North swales near Legacy Park leaked constantly and has corroded the compartment and parts. He indicated the third pump would be a new pump installed at Fox Hollow Park which would greatly improve efficiency of the irrigation system. He acknowledged these pump replacements would be purchased from Sprinkler Supply Company under State contract for the price of \$93,100. These costs would not include installation and bids would be required for the installation work.

**Councilmember Van Langeveld moved that the City Council approve the purchase of three (3) irrigation booster pumps from Sprinkler Supply Company for the price of \$93,100 for pump replacements at Wild Rose Park, 1100 North swales, and a new pump installation at Fox Hollow Park. Councilmember Gordon seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Baskin, Gordon, Porter, and Van Langeveld. Councilmember Knowlton was excused.**

6. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2022-40R: A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF SALES TAX REVENUE BONDS

Ken Leetham reported this proposal was in preparation to authorize borrowing up to \$17 million for the purpose of funding the design and construction of the Hatch Park redesign. He stated the estimated payment for Phase 1 of the park project proposal reviewed on September 6 was \$926,500. He said the Tunnel Spring Improvements and Eaglepoint Landslide Passive Open Space would be removed from this phase and due to higher interest rates, the previous estimated payment would be almost the same as the estimated payment for this potential bond issue. Mr. Leetham indicated Phase 2 would include the community center and concession building within Hatch Park. He noted the plan for repayment was to use the most restricted funds first including CDA funds, impact fees, RAP Tax, sales tax, and then a tax increase if necessary.

Mr. Leetham explained Zions Public Finance, the City's financial advisor, had identified a direct purchaser for the bond with the flexibility to have that bond callable at any time. He acknowledged the general terms would be 25 years with a fairly competitive interest rate. He stated the City could refinance the rate at any time. He spoke on some construction methods including value based construction where all of the components were evaluated and materials or products could be replaced without sacrificing quality. He also spoke on another method to save costs including short listing or prequalifying contractors. Mr. Leetham noted this would include a process of prequalifying those firms that could do the work at the greatest cost savings. He said the final method for savings would be hiring a construction manager and a general contractor working together to watch costs and reduce change orders without sacrificing quality.

Mark Anderson, Zions Bank, commented that they anticipated another rate increase in December and would then stabilize next year. He did not see a reverse in trends for at least a year and refinancing could be done at any time. He stated the public hearing was tentatively scheduled for November 29<sup>th</sup> via a special City Council meeting. He indicated rates around 5% were expected to be locked through the Bank of Utah on November 21<sup>st</sup> with a closing of December 7<sup>th</sup>.

Councilmember Gordon asked about borrowing all the funds at one time versus drawdowns. She asked about the call restrictions and the pay off. Mark Anderson replied drawdowns would be a revolving fund but with a direct placement or market would require taking all the proceeds at one time. He clarified that the callback meant there were no penalties on early payoff.

**Councilmember Porter moved that the City Council approve Resolution 2022-40R: a resolution authorizing the issuance of Sales Tax Revenue Bonds for the purpose of funding Hatch Park Expansion. Councilmember Gordon seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Baskin, Gordon, Porter, and Van Langeveld. Councilmember Knowlton was excused.**

## 7. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

The City Council minutes of October 18, 2022 were reviewed and approved.

**Councilmember Van Langeveld moved that the City Council approve meeting minutes for October 18, 2022, as written. Councilmember Porter seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Baskin, Gordon, Porter, and Van Langeveld. Councilmember Knowlton was excused.**

## 8. ACTION ITEMS

The action items list was reviewed. Completed items were removed from the list.

## 9. COUNCIL REPORTS

Councilmember Gordon attended the press conference on the plan for interstate trails by Governor Cox. She also spoke with Lisa Wilson, the assistant director of UDOT, about the railroad bridge who agreed to further review this project.

Councilmember Porter attended the Parks and Trails meeting with Dan Cottam. He spoke on an ad hoc committee that would meet later in the month to discuss trails.

Councilmember Baskin commented that she heard there was an effort to annex the southwest side of the City for manufacturing or industrial use. She also summarized a telephone call from a business owner who provided advertising to the golf course and their discussion about his criticism of the removal of some advertising at the golf course.

Ken Leetham said staff was working on marketing plans for the golf course. He mentioned some long-standing advertising contracts that the City and City Attorney are reviewing.

Sherrie Pace reported on the potential annexation and said staff received notification of the Misty River portion of that annexation. She stated a public hearing would be held within the next few weeks about an annexation into Salt Lake.

Ken Leetham commented that staff was working with the owners of Cross E Ranch after their presentation at a recent City Council meeting.

## 10. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Todd Godfrey had nothing to report.

## 11. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Horrocks reported the Halloween event was well attended. He then shared some housing data for Davis County including 3,700 affordable housing units will be leaving affordable housing programs (subsidized or income restricted). He noted some of these units were in the Foxboro area and those income restrictions would soon expire. He mentioned other data included the median income at \$87,000 in Davis County but \$95,000 was needed due to current interest rates. He reported there were housing shortages for Hill Air Force Base but Davis County did not qualify for Federal Government subsidies.

## 12. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

Ken Leetham reported the housing crunch was changing how people used housing such as renting out rooms, individuals purchasing homes together, etc. He said this produced parking problems in some areas but the housing market was changing and would continue to do so.

Mr. Leetham shared that the City was awarded with the Healthy Communities designation.

---

## 13. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 8:46 p.m.

*The foregoing was approved by the City Council of the City of North Salt Lake on Tuesday November 15, 2022 by unanimous vote of all members present.*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian J. Horrocks, Mayor

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Wendy Page, City Recorder