

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
ANCHOR LOCATION: CITY HALL
10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE
JANUARY 24, 2023

FINAL

Commission Chair BreAnna Larson called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Commission Chair BreAnna Larson
Commissioner Ryan Holbrook
Commissioner Ron Jorgensen
Commissioner Katherine Maus
Commissioner Irene Stone
Commissioner Brandon Tucker
Commissioner William Ward

STAFF PRESENT: Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Mackenzie Johnson, Planner.

OTHERS PRESENT: Whitney Richardson, Elaine Christensen, Jon Marsh, Katy Bingham, Jared Wendel, Brent Blundell, Carolyn Blundell, Michelle Fairbanks, Chris Moss, Scott Hodgson, Dee Lalliss, Thomas Call, Mort Campbell, Alejandro Gomez, Carrie Marsh, Brandi Wendel, Rosann Miller, residents; Butch Dailey, Dailey Development Group; Rick Crossley, Ben Larson, Lime; Via Zoom: Hayden Harvey, Lime.

1. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

2. CONSIDERATION OF A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR A 40-FOOT WIDE DRIVEWAY LOCATED AT 799 SOUTH WINTER LANE, BUTCH DAILEY, DAILEY DEVELOPMENT GROUP, APPLICANT

Mackenzie Johnson reported that this was a conditional use permit for a 40 foot wide driveway at 799 South Winter Lane, also known as Lot 146-A of The Ridge Subdivision. She showed the location of the property on an aerial map. She elaborated that this corner lot had over 200 linear feet of frontage with a buildable area of 60 feet from the side property line. This buildable area was based on a requirement from the fire district to restrict access due to the curvature and steepness of the adjacent road. Dailey Development Group submitted a building permit to construct a single family home on the vacant lot. To accommodate the proposed three car garage the applicant requested a conditional use permit to expand the driveway to 40 feet wide. The

driveway would be five feet from the closest property line and entirely within the buildable area. The existing water meter would be outside of the buildable area and not affected by the proposed driveway. Per City Code 10-6-2 residential lots would be permitted not more than one access driveway at a maximum of 30 feet wide at the street lot line or as established by a conditional use permit and not to exceed 40 feet. The code also regulated that there be a minimum of three feet between a driveway and a side property line. The driveway cannot be built over an existing water meter.

The Development Review Committee (DRC) recommended approval of the conditional use permit with no conditions.

Commissioner Ward moved that the Planning Commission approve the conditional use permit for a 40 foot wide driveway at 799 South Winter Lane with no conditions. Commissioner Jorgensen seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Commissioners Holbrook, Jorgensen, Larson, Maus, Stone, Tucker and Ward.

3. CONSIDERATION OF A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO OPERATE A MICRO-MOBILITY SERVICE (E-BIKE/E-SCOOTER) WITHIN THE CITY PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY, NEUTRON HOLDINGS, INC (DBA LIME), APPLICANT

Sherrie Pace reported that the city had been approached by Lime with a proposal to operate a micro-mobility service for e-bikes and e-scooters within the City. The proposed land use that most closely aligned with the use was “all other transit and ground passenger transportation” which was a conditional use in all commercial zones. The City Council would review and approve the drafted Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). This conditional use permit would be similar to the conditional use permit that was issued to Bird Scooters, who had not yet commenced operations in the City.

All vehicles must meet the State safety requirements including head/tail lights, brakes, bells, and an age limitation of 18 years. All vehicles would be equipped with GPS monitoring for parking and operation location and maintenance issues to be monitored remotely via programming. These vehicles would have a maximum speed of 15 mph. Field inspections would be conducted for a variety of additional issues that could not be monitored remotely. Lime employees would monitor and relocate scooters and bikes to ensure they were charged and neatly parked in designated locations based on demand. The company would provide numerous methods to reach customer service if an issue should arise and would be staffed 24/7. Hot spot locations would be coordinated with City staff to ensure operations were safe and the locations were suitable.

Ms. Pace shared a map with the hot spot locations suggested by both Lime and City staff. Lime would continually work with the City on the locations. At the end of the first year City staff would reevaluate the land use and determine what regulations should be adopted and make recommendations to the City Council regarding the continuation of the service. Considerations

and items to monitor during the first year included acceptable/designated parking locations for electric scooters, limited speeds on and off sidewalks, use on sidewalks, and limitation of areas where the vehicles would be operating such as business districts and transit accessible areas.

The DRC recommended approval of the conditional use permit for Lime with the conditions that approval was subject to City Council approval of the Memorandum of Understanding with Neutron Holdings, Inc., DBA Lime and the applicant would operate in conformity with the Operations Plan including coordination with City staff on appropriate deployment locations as necessary.

Commissioner Holbrook asked if the City attorney had reviewed the Memorandum of Understanding prior to Planning Commission review. Sherrie Pace replied affirmatively.

Commissioner Holbrook stated that he had several issues with the contract including Article 9C indemnity specifically that it limited Lime's obligations to gross negligence versus negligence. He also said the contract proposed the City receive \$0.05 per ride and asked what Salt Lake City received per ride. Sherrie Pace responded that this was the amount specified in Bird Scooters contract and staff determined that it should be consistent.

Sherrie Pace commented that she would share the comments related to gross negligence versus negligence with the City attorney.

Rick Crossley, Lime, said that the contract was standard related to gross negligence. He said there were only three cities in Utah, including North Salt Lake, that required a permit fee.

Commissioner Jorgensen asked how Lime determined the demand for service, whether through a market study or a trial period. Rick Crossley responded that there were several metrics they used including recommendations for missed demand through their app and software modeling.

Commissioner Jorgensen questioned the scope of the operations plan and State law allowance in regard to sidewalks. He said that scooters would be allowed to be used in the bike lanes or on roads. Rick Crossley replied that they recommended scooters be used in bike lanes and only on the sidewalk in areas that were not safe such as streets without a shoulder. He explained that they could limit the scooter speed limit on sidewalks.

Sherrie Pace commented that bicycles and scooters were supposed to be ridden in bike lanes or on the street. She said the one year period should show any conflicts or what areas may need a speed restriction on sidewalks.

Commissioner Jorgensen asked about the compatibility with higher speed corridors such as US-89 and Redwood Road. He asked Lime about their experience with these types of roads. Rick

Crossley replied that they had hot spots in Salt Lake on Highway 89 near the City and did not see any data supporting a need to restrict that use.

Commissioner Jorgensen requested a summary of the safety record for e-scooters. He asked if they could require the use of helmets. Rick Crossley said their data showed 99% safety but could not provide further data at that time. He mentioned that they encouraged the use of helmets on the scooters and handed out free helmets at events.

Commissioner Jorgensen then asked about the history of litigation with respect to claims and accidents with the scooters. He mentioned indemnity insurance related to the City. Rick Crossley responded that there were two requests to sequester a vehicle in the last year related to claims and those vehicles were still awaiting inspection by the requesting party.

Commissioner Jorgensen requested that staff solicit input from residents related to the sidewalk, safety, and conflicts. He said an internet search would provide a recent report associated with injuries related to e-scooters, etc.

Commissioner Tucker spoke on the geofence and asked about the ability of the scooters or bikes to cross City boundaries. Rick Crossley replied that the e-bikes and scooters could be taken past the City boundaries south into Salt Lake but would stop if the individual tried to go north of the City.

Chair Larson asked if Lime had initiated discussion with Bountiful. Rick Crossley said that they did not want a gap between service cities so were awaiting approval from the North Salt Lake first.

Commissioner Stone asked for clarification on the maintenance and charging of the e-bikes and scooters by Lime employees. Rick Crossley responded that all of the maintenance would be performed at their warehouse in West Valley. He said that the scooters or bikes were charged by gig workers or small business partners.

Commissioner Stone questioned who ensured the scooters and bikes were put in the proper hot spots. Rick Crossley said that he and other operations managers reviewed the location of the scooters and the gig workers activity, including requiring photos of the locations of the equipment.

Commissioner Stone asked how well the scooters and bikes functioned on steep hills. Rick Crossley replied that the newer vehicles had 500 watt motors and could go 8-10 miles per hour on a 15% incline.

Chair Larson asked about the turnaround time for moving scooters that were outside of boundary limits or improperly placed. Rick Crossley responded that they had idle time calculators and

said that 72 hours was the limit in Salt Lake. Tickets could also be created to have the scooters removed as soon as possible.

Chair Larson asked about braking capability and capacity on hills. Rick Crossley said that there were three braking mechanisms on the scooter and when the brakes were applied, the throttle could not be used.

Commissioner Maus requested that the City attorney review Section 10 related to termination and suspension and the ability to terminate at any time without a condition. She asked about the unintended positive and negative impacts of this use.

Chair Larson asked if this would negate the Bird Scooter application. Sherrie Pace responded that they could both run together in the City. She said that staff had not heard a peep from Bird Scooters since their conditional use permit was approved last year. Their conditional use permit would be void after one year of non-operation.

Chair Larson asked if there was a limit in the number of scooters that could be allowed in the City at a time. Sherrie Pace replied that this agreement allowed for the deployment of up to 300 scooters/e-bikes at a time. She said that if the vehicles would be staged on private property that Lime would need to complete a separate agreement with those property owners.

Chair Larson questioned how many e-bikes and scooters would be utilized at initial launch. Rick Crossley anticipated between 75-100 units initially and if the demand was there, they would work with the City on providing additional units.

Chris Moss, 3552 South 800 West, commented that he did not want to see motorized vehicles on the sidewalks as it was a safety issue. He said this would put the City in a bad position legally as well.

Commissioner Holbrook asked if his comments related to the Memorandum of Understanding/Operations Plan need to be part of the motion. Sherrie Pace replied that she had taken notes of the items to be reviewed with the City attorney. She said there were several other comments made that staff would review including a quarterly review of the data, etc.

Commissioner Holbrook moved that the Planning Commission approve the conditional use permit for Lime to operate a micro-mobility service within the city public rights of way with the following conditions:

- 1) Approval is subject to City Council approval of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Neutron Holdings, Inc., DBA Lime;**
- 2) The applicant will operate in conformity with the Operations Plan, including coordination with city staff on appropriate deployment locations, as necessary.**

Commissioner Stone seconded the motion.

Commissioner Maus asked if this conditional use permit would be approved contingent on the proposed edits being made in the MOU or relying on the City Council to include those edits. Sherrie Pace replied that any changes to the MOU would be approved by the City Council.

The motion was approved by Commissioners Holbrook, Larson, Maus, Stone, Tucker and Ward. Commissioner Jorgensen voted in opposition to the motion.

4. PUBLIC HEARING: CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED ZONING MAP AMENDMENT FOR THE VAL VERA ANNEXATION AREA. THIS AREA WAS RECENTLY ANNEXED FROM UNINCORPORATED DAVIS COUNTY TO THE CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE. THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT WILL MODIFY THE ZONING FROM THE EXISTING COUNTY TO NORTH SALT LAKE ZONING DESIGNATIONS

Sherrie Pace reported that the City recently finalized the annexation of the Val Verda Area, which became effective on January 1, 2023. She presented a zoning map, which showed how the area was zoned under the jurisdiction of Davis County with four zoning designations including residential (R-1, R-2, R-3) and commercial (C-2). She reviewed the work session held at the October 24, 2022 Planning Commission meeting regarding the potential zoning designations for the annexation area. The Commission had directed staff to draft a map for consideration with the proposal that Davis County R-1 and R-2 become R1-7, R-3 become RM-7, and C-2 become CH.

The properties in the proposed R1-7 zone would allow single or two-family units on a single lot to remain in conformance with code. The minimum lot size in the R1-7 zone is 7,000 square feet for single-family units and 10,000 for two-family units. The County zoning requires 9,000 square feet. There are approximately ten lots in the Val Verda area with less than 7,000 square feet that are considered legal non-conforming in the county and would continue that legal status under the new City zoning.

The multi-family properties proposed for the RM-7 zoning would be legal non-conforming as it related to the density of the projects. The RM-7 zone allowed for 8 dwelling units per acre and the existing developments were developed at approximately 13 dwelling units per acre. No additional multi-family units would be permitted in those projects. Ms. Pace spoke on the proposed RM-7 zoning that included lots identified as triplexes or other multi-family products and provided their locations. She explained why each unit would be legal non-conforming and grandfathered.

The properties along Highway 89 proposed to be zoned CH, which permits similar uses to the County's C-2 zone. If an active use was permitted in the County but it was not a listed permitted use in the CH zone, it will be considered legal non-conforming and allowed to continue. . Of the

properties proposed to be zoned CH, four were identified as single-family lots that would be considered legal non-conforming. If they were converted to another use, they would need to conform to the zone at that time. Ms. Pace explained that there were several single-family homes in the City's current CH zone that were legal non-conforming so this would be a similar situation and process.

Sherrie Pace presented a table that compared the existing Davis County residential zoning with the proposed City zoning and showed the minimum lot size, permitted uses, setbacks, and maximum heights. She said staff would prepare a zoning letter for each identified legal non-conforming or grandfathered property that detailed the rights that would continue.

Staff identified some accessory dwelling units (ADUs) and duplexes including those lots which were less than the required 10,000 square feet. She suggested that the minimum lot size for duplexes could be reevaluated by the Planning Commission and potentially changed in the City code.

Sherrie Pace said staff received public comment from property owner Brent Blundell requesting that his property at 3943 South 850 West be considered for the RM-7 zone instead of the R1-7 zone. His property is adjacent to the Sycamore Grove Subdivision that was approved by Davis County and then annexed into the City at the request of the developer. Sycamore Grove was zoned RM-7 as it was the most compatible zone to the development density granted by Davis County prior to annexation. Ms. Pace explained that if the Planning Commission wanted to consider this amendment to the proposed zoning map, staff recommended tabling the public hearing and action on the zone change so staff could update the proposed zone map and send notice to adjacent property owners as required by the State and City statutes.

Commissioner Holbrook asked about the non-conforming locations in the proposed RM-7 zone. He asked if the property owners were protected in the event of a remodel. Sherrie Pace replied that the properties could be remodeled, but could not add additional dwelling units. If destroyed by fire or other natural disasters, these lots could be rebuilt in the same footprint with a building permit, required to be submitted within 12 months. She clarified that no additional units could be built.

Mackenzie Johnson said that two additional public comments were received via email on January 24, 2023, which she read into the record. The first email was from Carrie Marsh, 3879 South 775 West, which stated that the proposed zone of R1-7 fit with the current lot sizes and uses of properties in the annexed and surrounding areas. Ms. Marsh explained that the zoning and the uses allowed for many students to live near major transportation routes and nearby universities/colleges and businesses/services. The existing housing type was some of the most affordable in the area and filled a need for students, families, and professionals in the community.

Mrs. Johnson then read an email from Jon Marsh, 3879 South 775 West, who was in support of the proposed R1-7 zoning for Sycamore Avenue and surrounding Val Verda residential area. He said it provided the most freedom and flexibility for property owners in the annexation area as it aligned with the existing County zoning.

Sherrie Pace commented on the west side of Elm Avenue which is already located in the City and zoned R1-10. She suggested that those lots could be considered for rezoning to R1-7 at a future date.

Commissioner Stone asked about the Blundell property, adjacent to the Sycamore Grove Subdivision, and the property owners request for RM-7 zoning. Sherrie Pace replied that the rezone would allow for development as the property was approximately one acre in size.

Chair Larson explained that staff and the Planning Commission had spent considerable time on the proposed zoning of the annexed area to allow the same amount of freedom as the County zoning.

Chair Larson opened the public hearing at 7:03 p.m.

A person attending the meeting asked for clarification on how square feet calculates to acres. Sherrie Pace explained that an acre was 43,560 square feet and a quarter acre was 10,800 square feet.

Whitney Richardson, 804-806 West 4050 South, said she owned a duplex which was listed as a multi-family property in the County, and was in favor of the proposed zoning to maintain this.

Elaine Christensen, 3552 South 800 West, said her property is adjacent to the commercial properties on Highway 89 and she is confused about the allowable height in the CH zone. She asked if condos or townhomes could be built right behind her property. Sherrie Pace replied that the CH zone did not allow multi-family but did allow commercial structures up to 60 feet high.

Jon Marsh, 3879 South 775 West, said the biggest issue with the R1-7 zoning was the requirement for 60 foot frontage minimums for redevelopment. He asked about subdivisions in the annexation area that would allow for PUDs. Sherrie Pace explained that most properties in the annexation area, with the exception of the homes along on 3800 South, were contained within existing subdivisions and said there is not a lot of room for redevelopment.

Katy Bingham, 860 West 3600 South, said she lived just behind the commercial properties on Highway 89. Her property was zoned R-2 and would become R1-7 and currently had a basement apartment. Ms. Bingham asked for clarification on the minimum lot size for an ADU. Sherrie Pace replied that an ADU would be on a single-family lot, which required a minimum lot size of 7,000 square feet. To be considered an ADU, one of the units had to be owner-occupied. She

said if the desire was for a duplex with both units rented out, the lot would need to be a minimum of 10,000 square feet in size, per the existing R1-7 code.. Ms. Pace suggested that the Planning Commission could reevaluate the minimum lot size in the R1-7 zone to be 7,000 square feet for both single and two-family units.

Katy Bingham was in favor of the change to allow duplexes at 7,000 square feet and preserve that use. She spoke on the proposed changes to commercial from C-2 to CH because the rear setback allowed in the CH zone is zero and the County standard was ten feet. She expressed concern as there were commercial properties adjacent to her property. Sherrie Pace explained that these were the current regulations in the CH zone She said usually the implementation of the zero foot rear yard setback only occurred if the property owner had two commercial properties and had a single building that would cross the property line. Most commercial properties would not be built to the rear setback to allow for maintenance, building code, and firewall requirements.

Katy Bingham stated that she wanted to see the ten foot setback remain especially as the commercial building allowable height was 60 feet. She said buildings bordering residential should stay at 30 feet in height and 10 feet from the property line.

Jared Wendel, 868 West 3600 South, said his property was similar to Ms. Bingham's and would like to also have the ability to maintain their duplex.

Brent Blundell, 3943 South 850 West, commented that he had requested to have his property zoned as RM-7. He asked if the R1-7 allowed for townhomes. Sherrie Pace clarified that RM-7 allowed for townhomes and R1-7 allowed for a duplex.

Michelle Fairbanks, 856 West 3600 South, said she was also in favor of retaining the 30 foot building height and objected to the 60 foot height increase and the zero foot setback in the CH zone.

Katy Bingham asked about the zero setback. Sherrie Pace explained that staff tried to find the most similar City zoning to the existing County zoning, which was C-2 to CH. She was in agreement that the building height and setback should be adjusted in the CH zone when adjacent to residential lots and uses. A code amendment could be made to increase the minimum setback and decrease the allowable height in the CH zone.

Katy Bingham mentioned that the existing dance studio on Highway 89 seemed taller than 30 feet and asked how this occurred. Sherrie Pace responded that she could speak to the County to see what the approved plans stated for the height.

Chris Moss, 3552 South 800 West, opposed the annexation. He said the zoning did not benefit the community and only helped the developers. Mr. Moss expressed that he did not agree with

the annexation and felt that this proposed rezone should be placed on a ballot for affected residents to vote.

Scott Hodgson, 762 West 3600 South, asked that the car lots be restricted to ensure they did not park their inventory on the street to prevent public safety issues.

Commissioner Holbrook asked about car dealerships in the CH Zone. Sherrie Pace replied that car dealerships were a permitted use in the CH zone. She elaborated on the car dealership at 3287 South Highway 89 and explained that the adjacent street was in Bountiful which created jurisdictional issues for enforcement. Ms. Pace agreed that a dealership should not be allowed to store inventory on the street. She said that the City's code enforcement would be involved to remedy those issues.

Scott Hodgson mentioned that the police could ticket them for parking too close to a stop sign per State law. He said the City police could ticket those that were violating that law. Mr. Hodgson then expressed concern about the residents in the annexation area not receiving any communication or input.

Chris Moss commented that the car dealership created a safety hazard by parking vehicles on the street. He suggested signage restricting parking near the corner and urged the City to resolve this problem.

Dee Lalliss, 74 East 300 North, said he lived in this area and was concerned about safety as well. He said that Bountiful City owned the road and North Salt Lake did not have the authority to regulate parking there. Mr. Lalliss spoke on the proposed zone changes and said overall it provided the residents with more control and the government with less. He clarified that a duplex had two separate units that were rented, an ADU was a two-family home with one unit owner-occupied, and a twin home had two separate owner-occupied units.

Dee Lalliss spoke on the annexation and said Bountiful declined to annex the area, the County no longer wanted to serve the area, and the City agreed to take care of the residents and their needs. He said he had lived in the City for over 50 years, and felt it was a great place to live with few restrictions for residents. Mr. Lalliss said there were a few things to consider such as the height and setbacks in the CH zone but felt that overall, the City had put in a lot of effort to ensure the residents received the best resolution.

Elaine Christensen asked for clarification on the car lot located on 800 West, the church, annexed property, and Bountiful City limits. Sherrie Pace showed a map of the area and clarified that the road (north of approximately 3436 South) was entirely within Bountiful City. She agreed it was a problem that the City would try to find a solution to.

Elaine Christensen was also against the 60 foot building height in the CH zone. She asked who to contact about issues with the car lot parking their inventory on the street. Sherrie Pace replied that the subject street was part of Bountiful and it was their responsibility for snow plowing, maintenance, etc. She said there would need to be some coordination with Bountiful for ticketing vehicles on the street when the business was operating outside of the approved terms.

Thomas Call, 828 West 3900 South, said his home had a basement apartment and would like to retain that ability. Sherrie Pace asked that those single-family homes with ADUs or duplexes, that were not shown on the map, contact staff so it could be documented and properly retained.

Chris Moss spoke on another issue with the road near the car dealership. He said there was speeding on the road and wanted to see citations being issued. Chair Larson replied that the road was owned by Bountiful and they would be responsible for citations there.

Sherrie Pace commented that any issues with speeding or citations on City roads needed to be brought to the City Police Department or City Council and not the Planning Commission.

Chair Larson closed the public hearing at 8:25 p.m.

Commissioner Holbrook said that the minimum setback and the maximum height in the CH zone should be reviewed.

Chair Larson said that if the Planning Commission was in favor of considering the Blundell zoning request, then this item should be tabled. Sherrie Pace replied that a code amendment could also be advertised so the Planning Commission could review the CH zoning.

The Planning Commission was in favor of tabling this item to review the zoning request for Mr. Blundell and review the CH zone.

Chair Larson clarified that the Planning Commission would move forward the consideration of the Blundell zoning request to RM-7, consider the R1-7 adjustment to the minimum lot size for one and two family dwellings being the same, consider rezoning Elm Avenue to match the proposed adjacent R1-7 zoning, and adjust the CH zone minimum rear setbacks and maximum height.

Commissioner Holbrook asked staff for information on modifying the current CH zone or creating a new zone. Sherrie Pace replied that staff would review this and explained the other areas of the CH zone would become part of the Town Center zone. She said it would be appropriate to make modifications to the CH zone related to height and setback at this time and in the future to address additional restrictions on car dealerships.

The Commission had a discussion on potentially rezoning the Sycamore Lane and Elm Avenue area. It was determined that this could be discussed at a future date if the property owners had an interest.

Commissioner Maus asked about the change to require a minimum lot size of 9,000 square feet in the R1-7 zone for a duplex and if that would result in more non-conforming lots. Sherrie Pace said this was just a simple misstatement and should be 7,000 square feet, not 9,000. She clarified that with the proposed change to the R1-7 zone, a duplex or a single-family home could be on a 7,000 square foot lot. This would create less non-conforming lots and allow more existing properties to conform to the code.

Commissioner Jorgensen moved that the Planning Commission table action on the proposed zone map amendment and directed staff to modify the proposed zoning map and ordinance subject to the discussion. Commissioner Tucker seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Commissioners Holbrook, Jorgensen, Larson, Maus, Stone, Tucker and Ward.

5. REPORT ON CITY COUNCIL ACTIONS ON ITEMS RECOMMENDED BY PLANNING COMMISSION

Sherrie Pace reported that the City Council approved a temporary planning intern. Nine applications had been received and the intern would be hired shortly. This position would be a part-time temporary position, up to 32 weeks. The intern would assist with building and land use permits, conditional permits, lot line adjustments, posting agendas and meeting materials, and assisting with the Form-Base Code, and the General Plan update.

Ms. Pace provided a timeline for the Form-Based Code with Commission review on February 28th and March 14th, a tentative joint work session on March 28th, a public open house on April 12th, a public hearing on April 25th, and consideration by the City Council on May 2nd. She then provided a timeline for the General Plan update with the RFP and consultant selection in July 2023, scoping and data collection in August and September, community visioning in October, a draft plan from November 2023 through January 2024, community review in February 2024, with review and adoption in March/April 2024.

Sherrie Pace said the next Planning Commission meeting would be on Wednesday, February 15th and would include a review of the agenda item tabled tonight.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The Planning Commission meeting minutes of January 10, 2023 were reviewed and approved.

Commissioner Jorgensen moved to approve the minutes as drafted for the January 10, 2023 Planning Commission meeting. Commissioner Maus seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Commissioners Holbrook, Jorgensen, Larson, Maus, Stone, Tucker and Ward.

7. ADJOURN

Commission Chair Larson adjourned the meeting at 8:25 p.m.

The foregoing was approved by the Planning Commission of the City of North Salt Lake on Wednesday, February 15, 2023 by unanimous vote of all members present.



Wendy Page, City Recorder