



**CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
NOTICE & AGENDA
October 14, 2025
6:30 PM**

Notice is given that the City of North Salt Lake Planning Commission will hold a regular meeting on the above noted date and time at City Hall, 10 East Center Street, North Salt Lake, Utah. Some Commissioners may participate electronically.

The following items of business will be discussed; the order of business may be changed as time permits:

AGENDA ITEMS

1. Welcome and Introduction
2. Public Comment
3. Public Hearing: Consideration of an amendment to the Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay zone map to include the property of 211 West Center Street (Parcel ID: 01-421-0001), Douglas White, Storage City, applicant (Legislative)
4. Report on City Council actions on items recommended by the Planning Commission
5. Approval of Planning Commission Minutes of September 23, 2025
6. Adjourn

Planning Commission meetings are open to the public. If you need special accommodation to participate in the meeting, please call (801) 335-8709 with at least 24 hours' notice. This meeting will be broadcasted live through the City's YouTube channel:
<https://www.youtube.com/@nslutah4909/streams>

Notice of Posting:

I, the duly appointed City Recorder for the City of North Salt Lake, certify that copies of the foregoing agenda were posted on the Utah Public Notice Website: <https://www.utah.gov/pmn/>, City's Website: <https://www.nslcity.org>, and at City Hall: 10 East Center Street, North Salt Lake.

Date Posted: October 7, 2025

Wendy Page, City Recorder





MEMORANDUM

TO: Planning Commission

FROM: Mackenzie Johnson, Planner

DATE: October 14, 2025

SUBJECT: Consideration of an amendment to the Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay map to include the property of 211 West Center Street (Parcel ID: 01-421-0001)

RECOMMENDATION

The Development Review Committee (DRC) recommends approval of the proposed amendment to the Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay zone map to include the property of 211 West Center Street (Parcel ID: 01-421-0001) with the following conditions:

1. Action on the amendment to the Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay zone map does not guarantee nor entitle approval of site plan or permissible drive access locations from the public street (Center Street); and
2. The overlay boundary shall be the parcel, less the area acquired by UDOT for the expansion of I-15.

BACKGROUND

The property of 211 West Center Street is 5.58 acres in size, zoned Manufacturing-Distribution (MD), and is owned by Storage City LLC. The property owner has made application to amend the Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay zone map to include the subject property. The property is between the I15 and the rail lines and has over 40 feet of easements along the western property boundary that cannot be built over.

The imminent I-15 Expansion Project will require UDOT to acquire approximately half of the subject property for the expansion of UDOT's right-of-way. This has prompted the property owner to make application for a zone map amendment that would offer a land use that is compatible with the remaining property configuration. Additionally, there are limited uses in the MD Zone that this property could be used for given the unusual constraints for redevelopment due to the planned transportation impacts, existing

easements, limited frontage on Center Street, and proximity to the active rail lines and Union Pacific regulations.

The existing Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay zone locations are: running north to south between 1100 North and Center Street between the railroad main lines and a spur line; beginning approximately 700 feet south of Center Street, bounded by the interstate and the railroad; and at 50 east Pacific Avenue. All of these areas overlay the MD zone. Self-storage is only permitted in the MD Zone within the Storage Unit Overlay. The subject property is directly north of the existing overlay. Staff is recommending the zone change on the entire parcel, less that portion of the property acquired by UDOT.

This zoning map amendment provides the property owner the ability to make site plan application for new self storage units. At site plan application, all applicable codes will be addressed and enforced. The zoning map amendment does not guarantee nor entitle approval of site plan review or permissible drive access locations from the public street (Center Street).

PROPOSED MOTION

I move that the Planning Commission recommend approval to the City Council of the proposed amendment to the Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay zone map to include the property of 211 West Center Street (Parcel ID: 01-421-0001) with the following conditions:

1. Action on the amendment to the Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay zone map does not guarantee nor entitle approval of site plan review or permissible drive access locations from the public street (Center Street); and
2. The overlay boundary shall be the parcel, less the area acquired by UDOT for the expansion of I-15.

Attachments:

- 1) Zoning Map
- 2) Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Map
- 3) 211 West Center - UDOT I-15 Farmington to SLC FEIS: Potential ROW Impact (Oct. 2024)



Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Zone Amendment 211 West Center Street Zoning Map






Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Zone Amendment

211 West Center Street

Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Map



REVISION	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION	DESIGN
				PAO
				DRAWN PAO
				CHECKED PAO
				DATE DEC 6, 2011
				P.S. NO.

NORTH SALT LAKE CITY
SELF STORAGE & STORAGE SHED
OVERLAY MAP



CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
 10 West Center Street
 North Salt Lake, Utah 84054
 (801) 335-8700

LEONARD K. ARLEY Mayor
 BARRY EDWARDS City Manager

SHEET OF _____
 PROJECT NO. _____



Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Zone Amendment 211 West Center Street UDOT I-15 Potential ROW Impact (Oct. 2024)

I-15 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
Farmington to Salt Lake City

UDOT I-15 Farmington to Salt Lake City FEIS: Potential ROW Impacts October 2024

To select an alternative, please select from the top right segment selector.

The FEIS Selected Alternative includes the North - Farm. 400 W. Option and the South - SLC 1000 N. Northern Option.

Disclaimer: This map shows the ROW impacts for the FEIS in October 2024.

Legend:

-  Area of Potential Impact
-  Full Acquisition
-  Partial Acquisition
-  Relocation
-  Potential Relocation
-  Perpetual Easement
-  Temporary Construction Easement
-  Access Change



1 CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
2 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
3 CITY HALL-10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE
4 SEPTEMBER 23, 2025

5
6 **DRAFT**
7

8 Commission Chair Larson called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.
9

10 PRESENT: Commission Chair BreAnna Larson
11 Commissioner Ryan Holbrook
12 Commissioner Ron Jorgensen
13 Commissioner Jonathan Marsh
14 Commissioner Irene Stone
15 Commission Vice Chair William Ward
16

17 EXCUSED: Commissioner Brandon Tucker
18

19 STAFF PRESENT: Mackenzie Johnson, Planner; Ali Avery, Long Range Planner.
20

21 OTHERS PRESENT: Dee Lalliss, Barry Bryson, Lisa Thompson, residents; Mark Morris,
22 VODA; Andrew Nguyen, Elliot Storm, Michael McCormick, Addasyn Breeze,
23 nonresidents/students.
24

25 1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION
26

27 The Planning Commission acknowledged that several students from local universities were in
28 attendance as part of a school assignment.
29

30 2. PUBLIC COMMENT
31

32 Dee Lalliss, resident, commented on the progress of the mural across from City Hall and spoke
33 on the desire for public art in the City.
34

35 3. WORK SESSION: TOWN CENTER URBAN DESIGN STANDARDS PROJECT BY
36 VODA LANDSCAPE + PLANNING
37

38 Ali Avery reported that in 2024 the City was awarded funding from Wasatch Front Regional
39 Council for the development of Town Center Urban Design Standards. She said that following
40 the requests for proposals, the City entered into a contract with VODA Landscape + Planning in

41 August 2025. She introduced Mark Morris, with VODA, as the lead for the project. She
42 explained that the intent was to adopt design standards, as code for a new Town Center zoning
43 district. She clarified that after the adoption of the code, City staff would initiate a rezoning
44 process for all the properties in the Town Center.

45
46 Ms. Avery continued that the process would be informed by some of the work that was
47 previously done on the Town Center Form-Based Code review and in addition to the work that
48 has been done on the Town Center Master Plan, Town Center Market Study, and Town Center
49 Branding & Wayfinding Study.

50
51 Mark Morris, VODA, introduced his company which provided landscape architecture and urban
52 design services. He noted that VODA had provided wayfinding and branding work for the City
53 in 2019. He spoke on codifying community goals related to design standards and development
54 guidelines. He said these standards would be concise and simple for staff, the Planning
55 Commission, applicants to utilize. Mr. Morris reviewed the ways this could be implemented,
56 including the use of graphics in the code and use of existing information from the drafted Form-
57 Based Code and Town Center Market Study. He explained that these guidelines should be in
58 place as redevelopment occurred.

59
60 Mark Morris spoke on the Town Center Master Plan recommendations and analysis which was
61 performed in 2016. He said the Master Plan was a larger study than what the design guidelines
62 would include but had been useful in codifying the design elements.

63
64 Commissioner Holbrook asked if the goal was to have fewer P Districts. Mackenzie Johnson
65 replied affirmatively and noted that this would standardize P District to an extent. She said there
66 would be less need for negotiated terms as these items would be standard practice and objective.
67 She noted that this would allow for continuity in the development of the Town Center.

68
69 Ali Avery commented that it would set expectations from the City for developers and eliminate
70 the need for the P District in the Town Center.

71
72 Mark Morris explained that end goal of urban design guidelines was predictability for staff,
73 applicants, and the Commission.

74
75 Mackenzie Johnson noted that the urban design standards would provide clear guidelines for
76 providing better building form and architecture. She gave examples of architectural requirements
77 such as window glazing or window frontage on the main floor.

78

79 Chair Larson commented that this would make approvals or denials feel less personal and allow
80 them to meet the design code. Mark Morris added that the goal was to make the design process
81 as neutral as possible and not based on personal opinion.

82
83 Commissioner Jorgensen asked if there was a stated objective to add continuity to the P District.
84 Mark Morris replied that generally these standards were not architectural standards. He gave the
85 example of Park City which had architectural and design standards to meet a certain aesthetic.
86 He said the design standards would be focused on the form of the development as opposed to a
87 certain style of architecture. He spoke on leaving the standards broad enough so an applicant
88 could choose a style and as long as it met the requirements it would be approved.

89
90 Mark Morris reported on Midvale's Main Street small area plan which was adopted in 2018. He
91 noted that the plan addressed historic structures mixed with vacant lots and underutilized
92 buildings. He said the urban design standards for Midvale included infill and ensuring
93 development fit in with the general form of a historic Main Street. He shared examples of urban
94 design standards in Midvale related to building height, massing, permeability, setbacks, and
95 architectural detail requirements.

96
97 Mark Morris spoke on codifying community goals for the Town Center related to redevelopment
98 with a timeframe of 25-30 years. He said the goal of the urban design guideline draft was to
99 make sure the guidelines were concise and allowed flexibility for property owners. He reviewed
100 the draft structure of the urban design standards:

- 101
102 1) Subdistricts
103 2) Setbacks
104 3) Building heights
105 4) Façade articulation
106 5) Ground floor activation
107 6) Other requirements

108
109 Mark Morris focused on Subdistricts which were a defined area to which the same set of
110 requirements were applied in the urban design guidelines. He shared a map with six subdistricts
111 including Core, Arts & Park, Center & Orchard, Boulevard, North 89, and Edge. He mentioned a
112 focus on context (what was allowed and where), scale, and transportation networks.

113
114 Commissioner Marsh commented that the map needed to be updated to include the recently
115 annexed area.

116

117 Mr. Morris then looked at Setbacks which would provide a more consistent urban form and
118 define a pedestrian oriented urban environment. He said this would bring buildings and entrances
119 to the sidewalk and increase the viability of the Town Center. He spoke on street walls, access
120 points (along the street), mixed uses, and parking location (rear).

121
122 Mark Morris reviewed Building Height which was regulated by subdistrict to ensure context
123 sensitivity. He said heights were established by understanding economic viability and
124 construction constraints along with neighborhood context. He presented images related to ground
125 floor height, upper floor height, overall building maximum height, corners and upper floor
126 setbacks.

127
128 Commissioner Jorgensen mentioned the economic study and asked about feasibility related to
129 building height. Mark Morris replied that the Town Center was a key area in a commercial
130 corridor which meant the land would be expensive. He explained that expensive land required
131 building heights for feasibility. He said working on appropriate building heights included an
132 epicenter where height was appropriate and then stepping down as you approach single family
133 neighbors. Mr. Morris also noted that you would sacrifice land to surface parking unless you
134 allowed for building height and structured parking.

135
136 Commissioner Jorgensen questioned if there would be uniformity in the building heights allowed
137 in the subdistricts. Mark Morris said most of the design guidelines would have parameters with
138 minimum and maximum building heights. He explained that in a Town Center it would be
139 encouraged two or three stories as a minimum in some of the subdistricts. He also mentioned
140 creating buildings that were reusable when uses changed and how ground floor height was key to
141 allowing commercial businesses in the space.

142
143 Mark Morris reported on Façade Articulation with variation in architectural facades to give
144 character and interest in the Town Center. He shared examples of varied facades and said the
145 regulation of facades should encourage vertical and horizontal articulation as well as addressing
146 windows and doors.

147
148 Mark Morris reviewed Ground Floor Activation and how active ground floor uses were vital to a
149 town center. He said ideally these ground floor uses should be public facing or accessible and
150 gave examples of active uses such as services, restaurants, and retail. He continued that one of
151 the takeaways from the market study was that there could not be a requirement solely for retail
152 on the entire ground floor over the entire town center as there was not market demand for that
153 much retail. He suggested services and other uses including some residential to achieve an even
154 more active sense of place. Mr. Morris recommended the key was to focus the requirement for
155 ground floor retail/services to create a critical mass or sense that a certain area was the epicenter

156 of the Town Center. He noted access points, ground floor uses, and upper floor use. He
157 mentioned reviewing each subdistrict with ideas to maximize ground floor activation that was
158 market based and feasible from a development standpoint.

159
160 Commissioner Jorgensen mentioned the review and subsequent adoption of code amendments
161 related to special use standards and the land use table. He asked if this would need to be
162 replicated for the zoning district or any potential constraints on different types of uses. Mark
163 Morris replied that use would be secondary to form in development. He said generally there
164 would be tables with acceptable uses for the ground floor and upper stories.

165
166 Mackenzie Johnson said that the recently approved land use table was for the General
167 Commercial (CG), Commercial Shopping (CS), General Industrial (MG), and Manufacturing
168 Distribution (MD) zones. She clarified that the specific use standards in Chapter 19 regulated all
169 zones in the City. She continued that the current permitted uses in the Highway Commercial
170 (CH) zone were broad and vague (general office, retail) and the prohibited uses were more
171 specific (automotive repair, etc.). She said this would help guide permitted uses and that the
172 specific use standards would also be preserved.

173
174 Ali Avery said the key takeaway from the urban design standards was that the form would
175 regulate the function. She elaborated further that the form of the building would not be
176 conducive to certain uses and gave the example of an auto dealer who would not have adequate
177 area for vehicle display.

178
179 Mackenzie Johnson commented that the list of prohibited uses would also be retained (such as
180 wholesale manufacturing, warehouses, etc.). Mark Morris said that there would be multiple
181 options for use to accommodate a changing market along with guidelines that were flexible.

182
183 Commissioner Jorgensen spoke on concerns with compatibility and safety and how this would be
184 covered by the list of prohibited uses. Mark Morris replied that prohibited uses could be as
185 specific as the City would like them to be. He spoke on allowing more flexibility related to uses
186 and that form would eliminate some uses.

187
188 Commissioner Holbrook asked if there was a downside to adding the Town Center zoning to the
189 land use table. Mackenzie Johnson responded that the Town Center could be added to the table
190 but as it would be developed separately. She mentioned the vagueness of the current land uses
191 allowed in the Highway Commercial (CH) zone. She expected that these issues would be
192 covered through discussion and determining the Town Center Design Standards. She also
193 mentioned that any land use which was not mentioned could be addressed through a code
194 amendment.

195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232

Ali Avery commented on letting go of control and allowing the market to dictate the uses. She said the City could control safety and building appearance (form) while creating an experience in the Town Center area rather than dictating uses (function).

Mark Morris spoke on creating spaces to allow for activation day and night through a mix of uses such as work, office, restaurants, retail, and residential options. He then continued his review of the design standards and other requirements including landscape, pedestrian zone improvements (street furnishings), and lighting.

Commissioner Jorgensen asked about dovetailing this plan with the Active Transportation Plan. Mark Morris said that they would review the Active Transportation Plan to accommodate benches for bus routes or bike racks where appropriate.

Commissioner Holbrook mentioned the Form-Based Code and some of the requirements from that review including ensuring that utilities would be placed underground or disguised. Mackenzie Johnson commented that this could include art wraps or strategic placement of utility boxes.

Commissioner Marsh shared that Holladay City had school children art murals on their utility boxes. Mark Morris said these standards were for planning approval and that the development would still be reviewed by engineering and other processes.

Commissioner Marsh spoke on the differentiation in design elements for retail versus services and asked about the other urban design elements. Mark Morris responded that the ground floor height was vital and that while retail and service did not have a large range of varying requirements that there needed to be a balance. He shared options to accommodate flexible uses including double height ground floors with an interior mezzanine to allow for two stories or just leaving the space open to allow for build to suit.

Mark Morris concluded future items to review including ensuring the code was user friendly by developing a prototype which could be used to apply the code and review how standards (articulation, setbacks, etc.) were applied based on the site. He shared that there would also be a worksheet for applicants that addressed each parameter.

4. REPORT ON CITY COUNCIL ACTIONS ON ITEMS RECOMMENDED BY
PLANNING COMMISSION

233 Mackenzie Johnson reported that during the September 2nd meeting the City Council approved
234 the amendment to include 100 West Center Street to the City's Self Storage and Storage Shed
235 Overlay Zone Map. She noted there would be a public hearing in October to also include the
236 Storage City property to this overlay zone map. She mentioned a discussion on City owned park
237 strip improvements that was held during the September 16th Council meeting.

238

239 5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

240

241 The Planning Commission meeting minutes of August 26, 2025 were reviewed and approved.

242

243 **Commissioner Jorgensen moved to approve the meeting minutes from the August 26, 2025**
244 **Planning Commission meeting as drafted. Commissioner Marsh seconded the motion. The**
245 **motion was approved by Commissioners Holbrook, Jorgensen, Larson, Marsh, Stone, and**
246 **Ward. Commissioner Tucker was excused.**

247

248 6. ADJOURN

249

250 Commission Chair Larson adjourned the meeting at 7:25 p.m.

251

252 *The foregoing was approved by the Planning Commission of the City of North Salt Lake on*
253 *Tuesday, October 14, 2025 by unanimous vote of all members present.*

254

255


256

257 _____
BreAnna Larson, Chair

_____ *Wendy Page, City Recorder*

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

October 14, 2025
6:30 p.m.



CITIZEN COMMENT

PUBLIC HEARING

Amendment to the
Self Storage & Storage Shed
Overlay Zone Map
211 West Center Street
Douglas White, Storage City



Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Zone Amendment 211 West Center Street Zoning Map






Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Zone Amendment

211 West Center Street

Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Map



REVISION	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION	DESIGN
				PAO
				DRAWN
				PAO
				CHECKED
				PAO
				DATE
				JUN 2011
				P. & NO.
				P. & NO.

**NORTH SALT LAKE CITY
SELF STORAGE &
STORAGE SHED
OVERLAY MAP**



CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
10 West Center Street
North Salt Lake, Utah 84054
1801 335-8700

LEONARD K. ARLYE
Mayor
BARRY EDWARDS
City Manager

SHEET
OF
PROJECT NO.



Self Storage and Storage Shed Overlay Zone Amendment 211 West Center Street UDOT I-15 Potential ROW Impact (Oct. 2024)

I-15 ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STATEMENT
Farmington to Salt Lake City

UDOT I-15 Farmington to Salt Lake City FEIS: Potential ROW Impacts October 2024


To select an alternative, please select from the top right segment selector.

The FEIS Selected Alternative includes the North - Farm. 400 W. Option and the South - SLC 1000 N. Northern Option.

Disclaimer: This map shows the ROW impacts for the FEIS in October 2024.

Legend:

-  Area of Potential Impact
-  Full Acquisition
-  Partial Acquisition
-  Relocation
-  Potential Relocation
-  Perpetual Easement
-  Temporary Construction Easement
-  Access Change



ACTION UPDATE



SAVE THE DATE



MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL,
CITY BOARDS & COMMITTEES

HOLIDAY PARTY

12 4 25

EAGLEWOOD EVENT CENTER
6:00 PM RECEPTION
6:30 PM DINNER

The card features a light yellow background with festive illustrations. On the left, there are two stylized Christmas trees: a large dark green one with orange dots and a smaller light green one behind it. At the top, there are several snowflake icons. On the right, there is a hanging decoration with snowflakes and a small gift box at the bottom right. The text is arranged in a clear, hierarchical layout, with the event title in large, bold, dark green letters.

MINUTES

ADJOURN